



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 8, 2008

Mr. Juan R. Molina
Law Office of Juan R. Molina
P.O. Box 190
Weslaco, Texas 78596

OR2008-13794

Dear Mr. Molina:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 324037.

The City of Mercedes (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for a specified incident report and information pertaining to the requestor's child. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.135 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the city has not submitted report number 7004357 for our review. We assume the city has released this information to the requestor. If it has not, it must do so at this time to the extent that such information existed on the date that the city received the request for information. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (noting that if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible under circumstances).

Next, we note that the city has failed to comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting this decision. Section 552.301 describes the procedural obligations placed on a governmental body that receives a written request for information that it wishes to withhold. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask for a decision from this office and state the exceptions that apply not later than the tenth business day after the date of receiving a written request for information. Gov't Code § 552.301(b). Section 552.308 states:

(a) When this subchapter requires a request, notice, or other document to be submitted or otherwise given to a person within a specified period, the requirement is met in a timely fashion if the document is sent to the person by first class United States mail or common or contract carrier properly addressed with postage or handling charges prepaid and:

- (1) it bears a post office cancellation mark or a receipt mark of a common or contract carrier indicating a time within that period; or
- (2) the person required to submit or otherwise give the document furnishes satisfactory proof that it was deposited in the mail or common or contract carrier within that period.

Id. § 552.308(a). The city received the request for information on July 17, 2008. We received the city's request for a ruling and the requested information on August 4, 2008. The envelope in which you submitted the request for ruling does not contain a postmark date. Further, the city has not furnished satisfactory proof that the request for ruling was deposited in the mail within the ten business day deadline. Thus, we are unable to determine that the city mailed its request for ruling within the ten business day deadline. Consequently, we find that the city failed to comply with the procedural requirements mandated by section 552.301.

A governmental body's failure to comply with the requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. Of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Generally, a compelling reason exists when third party interests are at stake or when information is confidential under other law. Open Records Decision No. 177 (1977). You have raised section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the informer's privilege for portions of the submitted information. Because the purpose of the informer's privilege is to protect the flow of information to a governmental body, rather than to protect a third person, the informer's privilege, unlike other claims under section 552.101 of the Government Code, can be waived. *See* Open Records Decision No. 549 at 6 (1990). Therefore, the informer's privilege may not serve as a compelling reason for overcoming the presumption of openness under section 552.302. Consequently, the city may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the informer's privilege. You also raise section 552.108 of the Government Code, which is a discretionary exception and, as such, does not generally provide a compelling reason to withhold information. *See* Open Records Decisions Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions in general), 177 (1977) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 subject to waiver). However, you also raise sections 552.101 and 552.135 of the Government Code. Because these sections can provide

compelling reasons to withhold information, we will address your arguments under these exceptions.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information that other statutes make confidential. Section 552.101 encompasses section 58.007 of the Family Code, which provides in part:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child and information stored, by electronic means or otherwise, concerning the child from which a record or file could be generated may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

- (1) if maintained on paper or microfilm, kept separate from adult files and records;
- (2) if maintained electronically in the same computer system as records or files relating to adults, be accessible under controls that are separate and distinct from controls to access electronic data concerning adults; and
- (3) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapter B.

Fam. Code § 58.007(c). Section 58.007(c) is applicable to records of juvenile delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision that occurred on or after September 1, 1997. *See* Open Records Decision No. 644 (1996). The juvenile must have been at least 10 years old and less than 17 years of age when the conduct occurred. *See id.* § 51.02(2) (defining "child" for purposes of title 3 of Family Code). Section 58.007 is not applicable to information that relates to a juvenile as a complainant, victim, witness, or other involved party and not as a suspect or offender. In this instance, the submitted information does not identify a juvenile suspect or offender. We therefore conclude that the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 58.007 of the Family Code.

Section 552.135 of the Government Code provides:

(a) "Informer" means a student or former student or an employee or former employee of a school district who has furnished a report of another person's or persons' possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law to the school district or the proper regulatory enforcement authority.

(b) An informer's name or information that would substantially reveal the identity of an informer is excepted from [required public disclosure].

(c) Subsection (b) does not apply:

(1) if the informer is a student or former student, and the student or former student, or the legal guardian, or spouse of the student or former student consents to disclosure of the student's or former student's name; or

(2) if the informer is an employee or former employee who consents to disclosure of the employee's or former employee's name; or

(3) if the informer planned, initiated, or participated in the possible violation.

Gov't Code § 552.135(a)-(c). Section 552.135 was enacted by the Seventy-sixth Legislature for the purpose of enforcing compliance by school districts with the Act. *See* Act of May 30, 1999, 76th Leg., R.S., ch. 1335, § 6, 1999 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 4543, 4545 (codified at Gov't Code § 552.135). It is clear from the legislative history of the exception that section 552.135 applies only to information held by a school district or a proper regulatory enforcement authority. *See* House Comm. on Public Education, Bill Analysis, H.B. 211, 76th Leg. (1999) (noting that enacting legislation provides appellate remedy for school districts and open-enrollment charter schools that disagree with attorney general decisions with respect to public information, along with new exception to disclosure for identity of school district informer). Moreover, section 552.135(d), in providing for disclosure of information to a law enforcement agency, indicates that the exception applies to information that is not already in the hands of a law enforcement agency. *See* Gov't Code § 552.135(d); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 658 at 4 (1998) (statutory confidentiality provision must be express and cannot be implied), 478 at 2 (1987) (language of confidentiality statute controls scope of protection). The information at issue here is all held by the city. We therefore determine that section 552.135 is not applicable to any of the submitted information. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information pursuant to section 552.135 of the Government Code. As you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Luttrall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JL/eeg

Ref: ID# 324037

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Rosa Solis
826 South Texas
Mercedes, Texas 78570
(w/o enclosures)