



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 14, 2008

Ms. Cynthia Villarreal-Reyna
Legal Services Division
Texas Department of Insurance
P.O. Box 149104
Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2008-14048

Dear Ms. Villarreal-Reyna:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 324953.

The Texas Department of Insurance (the "department") received a request for the most recent rate, rule, and form filings submitted to the department by Fred Loya Insurance Agency, Inc. ("Loya") and Midwestern Insurance Company ("Midwestern"). You state you have released a portion of the requested information. Although you take no position on the requested information, you state it may contain proprietary information subject to exception under the Act. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, the department notified Loya and Midwestern of the request for information and of each company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to it should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, neither Loya nor Midwestern has submitted to this office any reasons explaining why their submitted information should not be released. Therefore, Loya and Midwestern have not provided us with any basis to conclude they have protected proprietary interests in any of the submitted information. *See* Open Records

Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, we conclude the department may not withhold any portion of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Loya or Midwestern may have in the information.

We note the submitted information contains information that may be subject to section 552.137 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body," unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(c). The submitted information contains e-mail addresses. These e-mail addresses are not a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). Therefore, the department must withhold the marked e-mail addresses under section 552.137, unless the owners affirmatively consent to their release. As no arguments against disclosure of the remaining information have been raised, it must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).


requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Melanie J. Villars
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MJV/jh

Ref: ID# 324953

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Emily Butenhoff
American Access Casualty Company
1 S450 Summit Avenue
Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois 60181
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chester Kido
Midwestern Insurance Company
165353 Barneston Street
Granada Hills, California 91344
(w/o enclosures)

CT Corporation System
Midwestern Insurance Company
350 North St. Paul Street
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Banar
Old American County Mutual Insurance
P.O. Box 700668
Dallas, Texas 75370
(w/o enclosures)