



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 13, 2008

Ms. Ruth H. Soucy
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
P.O. Box 13528
Austin, Texas 78711-3528

OR2008-15604

Dear Ms. Soucy:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 327673.

The Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "comptroller") received a request for all subpoenas regarding thirteen named entities and their employees. You claim the requested information is exempted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. You also state you notified the Bexar County Criminal District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") of the request and of its right to submit arguments as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released). You have provided our office with comments from the district attorney. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." *Id.* § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108 (a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). We note section 552.108 applies only to records created by an agency, or a portion of an agency, whose primary function is the investigation of crimes and enforcement of criminal laws. *See* Open Records Decision

Nos. 493 (1988), 287 (1981). Section 552.108 is generally not applicable to records created by an agency whose chief function is essentially regulatory in nature. *See* Open Records Decision No. 199 (1978). The comptroller is a law enforcement agency for purposes of administering the Tax Code. *A&T Consultants, Inc. v. Sharp*, 904 S.W.2d 668, 678-679 (Tex. 1995). However, the information at issue does not pertain to the administration of the Tax Code; thus, the comptroller is not a law enforcement entity for purposes of section 552.108 in this context. We note section 552.108 may be invoked by the proper custodian of information relating to an investigation or prosecution of criminal conduct. Open Records Decision No. 474 at 4-5 (1987). Where a non-law enforcement agency possesses information relating to a pending case of a law enforcement agency, the custodian of the records may withhold the information under section 552.108 if (1) it demonstrates that the information relates to the pending case and (2) this office is provided with a representation from the law enforcement entity that the law enforcement entity wishes to withhold the information. You have provided our office with a representation from the district attorney objecting to the release of the submitted information because its release would interfere with an ongoing grand jury investigation. Thus, we conclude the release of the submitted information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). Therefore, the comptroller may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of the submitted information.


statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Melanie J. Villars
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MJV/eeg

Ref: ID# 327673

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Elizabeth Branch
Branch Law Office, PLLC
8505 Clairmont Cove
Austin, Texas 78749
(w/o enclosures)