



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 17, 2008

Ms. Nicole B. Webster
Assistant City Attorney
City of Waco
P. O. Box 2570
Waco, Texas 76702-2570

OR2008-17158

Dear Ms. Webster:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 330231 (Waco Reference No. LGL-08-963).

The Waco Police Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the information you have submitted.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information that (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). In Open Records Decision No. 393 (1983), this office concluded that, generally, only that information which either identifies or tends to identify a victim of sexual assault or other sex-related offense may be withheld under common-law privacy; however, because the identifying information was inextricably intertwined with other releasable information, the governmental body was required to withhold the entire report. Open Records Decision No. 393 at 2 (1983); *see* Open Records Decision No. 339 (1982); *see also Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied) (identity of witnesses to and victims of sexual harassment was highly intimate or embarrassing

information and public did not have a legitimate interest in such information); Open Records Decision No. 440 (1986) (detailed descriptions of serious sexual offenses must be withheld). Although you seek to withhold the submitted information in its entirety, you have not demonstrated, nor does it otherwise appear, this is a situation where the entirety of the submitted information must be withheld on the basis of common-law privacy. However, we do find the submitted information contains information that is highly intimate or embarrassing and not a matter of legitimate public interest. Thus, the department must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the Medical Practices Act ("MPA"). Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(a)-(c). Information that is subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004; Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). This office has concluded that the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). Medical records may only be released in accordance with the MPA. *See* ORD 598. Upon review of the submitted information, we find the department may only release the medical records we have marked in the remaining information in accordance with the MPA.

We next note the remaining information contains DNA records obtained by the department from the Texas Department of Public Safety's ("DPS") crime laboratory service. Section 552.101 also encompasses section 411.153 of the Government Code, which provides as follows:

- (a) A DNA record stored in the DNA database is confidential and is not subject to disclosure under the public information law, Chapter 552.
- (b) A person commits an offense if the person knowingly discloses to an unauthorized recipient information in a DNA record or information related to a DNA analysis of a sample collected under this subchapter.
- (c) An offense under this section is a state jail felony.
- (d) A violation under this section constitutes official misconduct.

Gov't Code § 411.153. A "DNA record" means the results of a forensic DNA analysis performed by a DNA laboratory. *See id.* § 411.141(6), (7). "Forensic analysis" is defined as "a medical, chemical, toxicologic, ballistic, or other expert examination or test performed on physical evidence, including DNA evidence, for the purpose of determining the connection of the evidence to a criminal action." *See* Crim. Proc. Code art. 38.35(4); *see also* Gov't Code § 411.141(10) (providing that "forensic analysis" has meaning assigned by Crim. Proc. Code art. 38.35). A "DNA database" means "one or more databases that contain forensic DNA records maintained by the director of the [DPS]." Gov't Code § 411.141(5); *see id.* § 411.001(3).

The director of the DPS is required to establish certain procedures for DNA laboratories. *See id.* §§ 411.144(a), .142(h) (requiring director to establish standards for DNA analysis). Section 411.144 of the Government Code provides that a DNA laboratory conducting a forensic DNA analysis under subchapter G of chapter 411 shall comply with subchapter G and the rules adopted under subchapter G. *See id.* § 411.144(d); 37 T.A.C § 28.82(a). The director of the DPS has adopted rules that govern the regulation of forensic DNA laboratories in this state. *See* 37 T.A.C. §§ 28.81, .82 (describing minimum standards by which a forensic DNA laboratory must abide); *see also* Gov't Code § 411.147(b). The director of the DPS may release a DNA record in certain instances, including to a criminal justice agency for criminal justice or law enforcement purposes. *See id.* § 411.147(c).

In this instance, some of the remaining documents are DNA records relating to DNA analyses of samples collected under subchapter G of chapter 411 of the Government Code. The information in question is contained in the department's file related to a criminal case. The documents appear to be the result of forensic DNA analyses performed by a DPS DNA laboratory in accordance with DPS regulations. Thus, we conclude the department must withhold the DNA records we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.153 of the Government Code.¹

¹We note that section 411.147 of the Government Code allows the director of the DPS to release DNA records in several specified instances. *See* Gov't Code § 411.147(c).

We note portions of the remaining information are subject to sections 552.130 and 552.137 of the Government Code.² In relevant part, section 552.130 provides:

(a) Information is excepted from required public disclosure if the information relates to:

(1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]

(2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). Upon review, we find the department must withhold the Texas issued motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body," unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail address in the remaining information is not specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). As such, this e-mail address, which we have marked, must be withheld under section 552.137, unless the owner of the e-mail address has affirmatively consented to its release. *See id.* § 552.137(b).

In summary, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The department may only release the marked medical records in accordance with the MPA. The department must withhold the DNA records we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 411.153 of the Government Code. The department must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 and the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137, unless the owner of the e-mail address has affirmatively consented to its release. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Melanie J. Villars
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MJV/eb

Ref: ID# 330231

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)