



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 19, 2008

Ms. Angelina I. Garcia
Assistant City Attorney
City of Corpus Christi
P.O. Box 9277
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9277

OR2008-17286

Dear Ms. Garcia:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 334809.

The City of Corpus Christi (the "city") received a request for a list of all of the channel 10 public access producers and contact information for all cable commissioners. You state you have released some information to the requestor, but claim portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.117(a)(1), .024. We note that a post office box number is not a "home address" for purposes of section 552.117.¹ We also note that section 552.117 encompasses a personal cellular telephone number, unless the service is paid for by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 670 at 6 (2001), 506 at 5-7 (1988) (statutory predecessor to section 552.117 not applicable to cell phone numbers provided and paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is made. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). You have submitted documentation showing that some of the employees at issue elected to keep their personal information confidential before the city received the instant request for information. Accordingly, the city must withhold the home telephone numbers and home addresses we have marked pursuant to

¹*See* Gov't Code § 552.117; Open Records Decision No. 622 at 4 (1994) (legislative history makes clear that purpose of section 552.117 is to protect public employees from being harassed at home) (citing House Committee on State Affairs, Bill Analysis, H.B. 1976, 69th Leg. (1985)).

section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. Furthermore, if the employees at issue paid for the cellular telephone service associated with the cellular telephone numbers, the marked numbers must be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code.

We note the remaining information includes e-mail addresses subject to section 552.137 of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body," unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c).² *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The city must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137, unless the owners of the addresses have affirmatively consented to their release. *See id.* § 552.137(b).

In summary, the city must withhold the home telephone numbers and home address we have marked pursuant to section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. If the employees at issue paid for the cellular telephone service associated with the cellular telephone numbers we have marked, the marked numbers must also be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. The city must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137, unless the owners of the addresses have affirmatively consented to their release. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Amy L.S. Shipp
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ALS/jb

Ref: ID# 334809

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)