



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 31, 2008

Mr. Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant City Attorney
City of Corpus Christi
P.O. Box 9277
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9277

OR2008-17667

Dear Mr. Bounds:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 331060.

The City of Corpus Christi (the "city") received a request for building permits, construction documents, and other information for seven specified projects and construction documents only for an additional four projects. You state that you have released some of the requested information to the requestor. You claim that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.130 and 552.137 of the Government Code. While you also raise section 552.110 of the Government Code as a possible exception to disclosure, you take no position with respect to the applicability of this exception. Instead, you indicate that the release of the information at issue may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, that you have notified the following third parties of the request and of each company's opportunity to submit arguments to this office: Mr. Roberto Sanchez; Mr. Herm Sanchez; Mr. Robert Sanchez; RW Stone Engineering, P.L.L.C.; Geotek Engineering; GulfTex Properties; KJM Commercial; Luddeke Architects; REM Engineers; Aramco, Saudi, & CNM PAD; Diversified Specialty, Inc.; Madison, Inc.; Coym, Rehmet & Gutierrez Engineering, L.P.; Mr. John A. Weller, P.E.; Corpus Christi Independent School District; DLP Group, Inc.; WKMC Architects; Jaster-Quintanilla & Associates; Stridde, Callins & Associates; Bass & Welsh Engineering; United Westview, LLC; Ocean Dental ("Ocean"); KACS, Inc.; Kinslow, Keith, & Todd, Inc.; MPW Engineering; Parkway South Land Group;

NRG Engineering; Stanton Optical; Morgan Spear Associates, Inc.; RSCR, Inc.; Architectura SA, Inc.; ArchitecTKO; Voss Engineering; GPM Engineering; Dr. Rajeev Narang; Castles Design Group ("Castles"); BGA Engineers, Inc.; RGT Engineering, Inc.; Mr. Andrew Howard Smith, P.E.; and Jordan, Skala Engineering Inc. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Ocean and Castles. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days from the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305 of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, this office has only received comments from Ocean and Castles. Thus, we have no basis to conclude that the release of any portion of the submitted information relating to the other third parties would implicate their proprietary interests. See *id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret). Thus, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information pertaining to the other notified third parties based on the proprietary interests that these third parties may have in the information.

Ocean and Castles each raise section 552.110 of the Government Code for their submitted information. Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. Gov't Code § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1957); see also ORD 552 at 2. Section 757 provides that a trade secret is:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776. In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.¹ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.* § 552.110(b); *see also Nat’l Parks & Conservation Ass’n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974); ORD 661.

Ocean asserts that its submitted plans and drawings “outline the design, daily flow, and operations” of its many dental offices, and, therefore, are for continuous use in Ocean’s business. Ocean indicates the plans have been used in 30 offices in seven different states. Therefore, after reviewing the arguments and the information at issue, we conclude that Ocean has established a *prima facie* case that the submitted plans and drawings related to it are a trade secret. *See Taco Cabana Int’l v. Two Pesos*, 932 F.2d 1113, 1123-1125; *see also American Precision Vibrator Co. v. Nat’l Air Vibrator Co.*, 764 S.W.2d 274, 278 (Tex.App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1988, no writ) (blueprints, drawings, and customer lists constitute trade secrets); *Ecolaire Inc. v. Crissman*, 542 F.Supp. 196, 206 (E.D.Pa.1982) (drawings, blueprints, and lists constitute trade secrets because such information could be obtained, through other than improper means, only with difficulty and delay). Accordingly, the city must withhold the plans and drawings related to Ocean under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.² However, we conclude that Castles has failed to establish a *prima facie* case that any of its submitted information constitutes a trade secret. *See* Open Records

¹The following are the six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret: (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of the company; (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in the company’s business; (3) the extent of measures taken by the company to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the information to the company and its competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended by the company in developing the information; (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

²As our ruling for this information is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure for a portion of this information.

Decision No. 402 (1983). In addition, we find that Castles has made only conclusory allegations that release of the information at issue would cause it substantial competitive injury and has provided no specific factual or evidentiary showing to support such an allegation. Thus, the city may not withhold any of Castles's submitted information under section 552.110.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure "information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state." Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, you must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information you have marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *Id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail addresses at issue are not of a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). However, you have also marked web addresses that do not constitute e-mail addresses subject to section 552.137. Therefore, except for the web addresses, which we have marked for release, you must withhold the e-mail addresses you have marked, as well as the additional e-mail addresses we have marked, in accordance with section 552.137, unless the city receives consent for their release.

The city also asserts that some of the submitted information is protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the city must withhold the plans and drawings related to Ocean under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. The Texas motor vehicle information you have marked must be withheld under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Except for the web addresses, which we have marked for release, you must withhold the e-mail addresses you have marked, and the additional e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code unless the city receives consent for their release. The remaining information must be released to the requestor, but any information protected by copyright must be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Miles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JM/cc

Ref: ID# 331060

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Agustin Tellez Jr., P.E.
Jaster - Quintanilla, Inc.
124 West Sunset
San Antonio, Texas 78209
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Victor Gutierrez, P.E.
Coyne, Rehmet & Gutierrez Engineering, L.P.
5656 South Staples, Suite 230
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Terry K. Orf, AIA
ArchitectKO
3430 South Alameda Street
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Sean Rodriguez
NRG Engineering
5151 Flynn Parkway, Suite 616
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Steve Hardee
United Westview, L.L.C.
Health First Real Estate
4504 Boat Club Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76135
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott Webb
MPW Engineering
110 West 7th Street, Suite 600
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott Stridde
Stridde, Callins & Associates
2000 South Padre Island Drive, Suite 103
Corpus Christi, Texas 78416
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ronnie Voss, P.E.
Voss Engineering
3756 Bratton Road
Corpus Christi, Texas 78413
(w/o enclosures)

Jordan, Skala Engineering
11231 Richmond Avenue, Suite 104
Houston, Texas 77082
(w/o enclosures)

Geotek Engineering
1135 West Woodlawn
San Antonio, Texas 78201
(w/o enclosures)

Aramco, Saudi & CNM PAD
6000 South Staples, Suite 205
Corpus Christi, Texas 78413
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Arnold De La Paz
DLP Group, Inc.
10201 Leopard, Suite C
Corpus Christi, Texas 78410
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mike Davis, President
Madison, Inc.
1033 Iris Drive Southwest
Conyers, Georgia 30094
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott Cathey
Lead Business Developer
Ocean Dental
203 West 6th Street
Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074
(w/o enclosures)

KACS, Inc.
506 Bermuda Place
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Reynaldo Gonzalez, Jr., P.E.
RGT Engineering, Inc.
1161 Chisholm Trail Road, Suite 250
Round Rock, Texas 78681
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Andrew Howard Smith, P.E.
3801 Kirby Road, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77098
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Morgan Spear
Morgan Spear Associates, Inc.
225 South Carancahua
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401
(w/o enclosures)

Stanton Optical
4802 South Padre Island Drive
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Parkway South Land Group
601 Texan Trail, Suite 207
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ronald Podojil
RSCR, Inc.
1905 La Manda Boulevard
San Antonio, Texas 78201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert E. Martinez, P.E.
REM Engineers
6218 Stable Downs
San Antonio, Texas 78249
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Roberto Sanchez
4001 Sarita
Corpus Christi, Texas 78416
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Raymond W. Stone
RW Stone Engineering, P.L.L.C.
2072 FM 2725
Ingleside, Texas 78362
(w/o enclosures)

Dr. Rajeev Narang
2601 Hospital Boulevard, Suite 220
Corpus Christi, Texas 78405
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. B. Mark Luddeke, A.I.A.
Luddeke Architects
1814 Holly Road
Corpus Christi, Texas 78417
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Larry Christian
Castles Design Group
3801 Kirby Drive, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77098
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James Kilman
Kilman Design Team
Kinslow, Keith & Todd, Inc.
2021 South Lewis, Suite 150
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. R.S. Pitzer
GPM Engineering
5440 Old Brownsville Road
Corpus Christi, Texas 78417
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Burris McRee
Gultex Properties
6000 South Staples
Corpus Christi, Texas 78413
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jose Calzada, A.I.A.
Architectura SA, Inc.
10223 McAllister Freeway, Suite 104
San Antonio, Texas 78216
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John A. Weller, P.E.
1033 Iris Drive Southwest
Conyers, Georgia 30094
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John A. Rodriguez
NRG Engineering
5151 Flynn Parkway, Suite 616
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jimmy Cummins
Diversified Specialty, Inc.
511 Union Street
Nashville, Tennessee 37219
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jeremy Baugh
KJM Commercial
1814 Holly Road
Corpus Christi, Texas 78417
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bob Otto
WKMC Architects
P.O. Box 2941
Corpus Christi, Texas 78403
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bob Gatewood, P.E.
BGA Engineers, Inc.
4888 Langfield, Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77040
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Nixon M. Welsh
Bass & Welsh Engineering
P.O. Box 6397
Corpus Christi, Texas 78466
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Herm Sanchez
4001 Sarita
Corpus Christi, Texas 78416
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert Sanchez
Candlewood Annex
6338 Holly
Corpus Christi, Texas 78414
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Imelda Martinez
Corpus Christi Independent School District
P.O. Box 110
Corpus Christi, Texas 78403
(w/o enclosures)