



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 12, 2009

Ms. Michelle T. Rangel
Assistant County Attorney
Fort Bend County Attorney's Office
301 Jackson Street Suite 728
Richmond, Texas 77469-3108

OR2009-00456

Dear Ms. Rangel:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 332238.

Fort Bend County (the "county") received a request for "the pricing pages from the [v]endors who responded to [RFP# 08-097], Document Imaging Services for Tax Office." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104 and 552.136 of the Government Code. In addition, you state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Inc. and DocuData Solutions, L.L.C. of the request for information and of each company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that most of the submitted information is not responsive to the request for information. The requestor seeks the vendors' pricing information pertaining to the specified RFP; thus, only these portions of the proposals are responsive to the request. This ruling does not address the public availability of any information that is not responsive to the request, and the county is not required to release that information in response to the request.

Section 552.104 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104. The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect a governmental body's interests in competitive bidding situations, including where the governmental body may wish to withhold information in order to obtain more favorable offers. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 at 8 (1991). Section 552.104 requires a showing of some actual or specific harm in a particular

competitive situation; a general allegation that a bidder will gain an unfair advantage will not suffice. Open Records Decision No. 541 at 4 (1990). However, section 552.104 does not except from disclosure information relating to competitive bidding situations once a contract has been executed. Open Records Decision Nos. 306 (1982), 184 (1978).

You state, and provide documentation showing, that the responsive information relates to a request for proposals in which a contract has not yet been awarded and the county plans to "resolicit [proposals]." Accordingly, you state that the release of the information at issue could compromise the county's negotiating position when soliciting new proposals or in contract negotiations. Based on your representations, and our review, we conclude that the county may withhold the responsive information under section 552.104 of the Government Code until such time as a contract has been executed. *See* Open Records Decision No. 170 at 2 (1977) (release of bids while negotiation of proposed contract is in progress would necessarily result in an advantage to certain bidders at the expense of others and could be detrimental to the public interest in the contract under negotiation).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Paige Savoie
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

PS/eeg

Ref: ID# 332238

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Diedre P. Porche
J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.
712 Main Street, Floor 9
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Leslie Whiteman
DocuData Solutions, L.L.C.
3724 Dacoma
Houston, Texas 77092
(w/o enclosures)