



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

January 23, 2009

Ms. Sharon Alexander
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2009-00919

Dear Ms. Alexander:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 332984.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for eleven categories of information related to the construction of State Highway 130. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

Initially, we note that the information in Exhibit D contains an ordinance for the City of Georgetown. Because laws and ordinances are binding on members of the public, they are matters of public record and may not be withheld from disclosure under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 551 at 2-3 (1990) (laws or ordinances are open records). Accordingly, the department must release this information, which we have marked, to the requestor.

Next, we note that the submitted information contains Traffic Control Devices Inspection Checklists, Safety Evaluation Reports, and a Daily Inspection Report. This information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code, which enumerates categories of information that are not excepted from required disclosure unless they "are expressly

¹We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

confidential under other law.” Under section 552.022(a)(1), a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body is expressly public unless it either is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code or is expressly confidential under other law. Section 552.111 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception and therefore not “other law” for purposes of section 552.022. *See* Open Records Decision No. 470 at 7 (1987) (statutory predecessor to section 552.111 may be waived).

However, the department also contends the information subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code is excepted from disclosure under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code, which provides as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

23 U.S.C. § 409. Federal courts have determined that section 409 excludes from evidence data compiled for purposes of highway and railroad crossing safety enhancement and construction for which a state receives federal funding, in order to facilitate candor in administrative evaluations of highway safety hazards and to prevent federally-required record-keeping from being used for purposes of private litigation. *See Harrison v. Burlington N. R.R. Co.*, 965 F.2d 155, 160 (7th Cir. 1992); *Robertson v. Union Pac. R.R. Co.*, 954 F.2d 1433, 1435 (8th Cir. 1992). We agree that section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code is “other law” for purposes of section 552.022(a) of the Government Code. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001); *see also Pierce County v. Guillen*, 123 S.Ct. 720 (2003) (upholding constitutionality of section 409, relied upon by county in denying request under state’s Public Disclosure Act).

The information at issue pertains SH 130 and SH 195. You inform us that SH 130 and SH 195 are part of the National Highway System under 23 U.S.C. § 130 and, therefore, are federal-aid highways within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. § 409. Based upon your representations and our review of the information at issue, we conclude that the department may withhold the information subject to section 552.022 pursuant to section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code.

We now address your claim under section 552.111 for the information that is not subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.111 excepts from disclosure “an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a

party in litigation with the agency.”² Gov’t Code § 552.111. You claim that the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 because it would be privileged from discovery under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. We find that the remaining information falls under section 552.111 of the Government Code. Furthermore, we find that section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code would protect the remaining information from discovery in civil litigation. Therefore, the remaining submitted information may be withheld under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must release the city ordinance we have marked in Exhibit D. The department may withhold the information subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code pursuant to section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. The remaining submitted information may be withheld under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

Sincerely,



Tamara Wilcox
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

TW/eeg

²We note that section 552.111 can encompass a governmental body’s communications with other public and private entities. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 631 at 2 (1995) (Gov’t Code § 552.111 encompasses information created for governmental body by outside consultant acting at governmental body’s request and performing task that is within governmental body’s authority), 563 at 5-6 (1990) (private entity engaged in joint project with governmental body may be regarded as its consultant), 561 at 9 (1990) (statutory predecessor to Gov’t Code § 552.111 encompassed communications with party with which governmental body has privity of interest or common deliberative process).

Ref: ID# 339284

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)