



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

April 7, 2009

Mr. Vic Ramirez  
Associate General Counsel  
Lower Colorado River Authority  
P.O. Box 220  
Austin, Texas 78767-0220

OR2009-04592

Dear Mr. Ramirez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 339256.

The Lower Colorado River Authority (the "LCRA") received a request for all vendors' submissions, including pricing, for a specified request for proposals. You state that the LCRA takes no position on the public availability of the requested information. You believe, however, that the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of PerkinElmer Health Sciences, Inc. ("PerkinElmer"), Agilent Technologies, Inc. ("Agilent"), and Full Spectrum Analytics, Inc. ("Full Spectrum"). You notified the interested parties of this request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released.<sup>1</sup> We received correspondence from PerkinElmer. We have considered PerkinElmer's arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

We first note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days from the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305 of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, this office has received no correspondence from either Agilent or Full Spectrum. Therefore, because

---

<sup>1</sup>*See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under certain circumstances).

neither Agilent nor Full Spectrum has demonstrated that any of the submitted information is proprietary for the purposes of the Act, the LCRA may not withhold any of their information on that basis. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990), 661 at 5-6 (1999).

Next, we consider PerkinElmer's claims under sections 552.104 and 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.104 excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104(a). This exception protects the competitive interests of governmental bodies, not the proprietary interests of private parties such as PerkinElmer. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 at 8 (1991) (discussing statutory predecessor). In this instance, the LRCA did not claim an exception to disclosure under section 552.104. Therefore, the LRCA may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

Section 552.110 of the Government Code protects the proprietary interests of private parties with respect to two types of information: "[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision" and "commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b).

The Supreme Court of Texas has adopted the definition of a "trade secret" from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a "trade secret" to be

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business, *as, for example, the amount or other terms of a secret bid for a contract or the salary of certain employees . . .* A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939) (emphasis added); *see Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958). If a governmental body takes no position on the application of the "trade secrets" aspect of section 552.110 to the information at issue, this office will accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under section 552.110(a) if the person establishes a *prima facie* case for the exception and no one submits an argument

that rebuts the claim as a matter of law.<sup>2</sup> *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *See* Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *See* ORD 661 at 5-6 (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm).

Having considered PerkinElmer's arguments, we conclude that the LCRA must withhold the company's pricing information, which we have marked, under section 552.110(b). We find that PerkinElmer has not demonstrated that any of the remaining information at issue constitutes a trade secret of the company under section 552.110(a). We also find that PerkinElmer has not made the specific factual or evidentiary showing required by section 552.110(b) that release of any of the remaining information would cause the company substantial competitive harm. We therefore conclude that the LCRA may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.110. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts was entirely too speculative), 319 at 3 (1982) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.110 generally not applicable to information relating to organization and personnel, market studies, professional references, qualifications and experience, and pricing).

We note that section 552.136 of the Government Code is applicable to some of Agilent's and Full Spectrum's information.<sup>3</sup> Section 552.136(b) states that "[n]otwithstanding any other

---

<sup>2</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

<sup>3</sup>Unlike other exceptions to disclosure under the Act, this office will raise section 552.136 on behalf of a governmental body, as this exception is mandatory and may not be waived. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.007, .352; Open Records Decision No. 674 at 3 n.4 (2001) (mandatory exceptions).

provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). The LCRA must withhold the bank account, bank routing, and insurance policy numbers that we have marked under section 552.136.


We also note that some of the remaining information appears to be protected by copyright. A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted information unless an exception to disclosure applies to the information. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). An officer for public information also must comply with copyright law, however, and is not required to furnish copies of copyrighted information. *Id.* A member of the public who wishes to make copies of copyrighted information must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 at 8-9 (1990).

In summary, the LCRA must withhold the information that we have marked under sections 552.110 and 552.136 of the Government Code. The rest of the submitted information must be released. Any information that is protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James W. Morris, III". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

James W. Morris, III  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JWM/cc

Ref: ID# 339256

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Janet E. Drew  
PerkinElmer Health Sciences, Inc.  
710 Bridgeport Avenue  
Shelton, Connecticut 06484  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Georgeann Foster  
Agilent Technologies, Inc.  
2850 Centerville Road BS BU2-1  
Wilmington, Delaware 19808-1644  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Eileen Saugstad  
Full Spectrum Analytics, Inc.  
1554 North Case Street  
Orange, California 92867  
(w/o enclosures)