



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 29, 2009

Ms. Eileen McPhee
Carls, McDonald & Dalrymple, LLP
Barton Oaks Plaza 2
901 South Mopac Expressway, Suite 500
Austin, Texas 78746

OR2009-15394

Dear Ms. McPhee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 359854 (CmcD # 2138).

The City of Georgetown (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for a copy of a specified interview. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.1175 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also received and considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit written comments regarding availability of requested information).

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." *Id.* § 552.101. This section encompasses common-law privacy and excepts from disclosure private facts about an individual. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). Information is excepted from required public disclosure by a common-law right of privacy if the information (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *See id.* at 685.

In Open Records Decision No. 393 (1983), this office concluded that, generally, only that information which either identifies or tends to identify a victim of sexual assault or other sex-related offense may be withheld under common-law privacy; however, because the identifying information was inextricably intertwined with other releasable information, the governmental body was required to withhold the entire report. *See* Open Records Decision

Nos. 393 at 2 (1983), 339 (1982); *see also Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.— El Paso 1992, writ denied) (identity of witnesses to and victims of sexual harassment was highly intimate or embarrassing information and public did not have a legitimate interest in such information); Open Records Decision No. 440 (1986) (detailed descriptions of serious sexual offenses must be withheld). The submitted information pertains to an alleged sexual assault. The requestor in this case knows the identity of the alleged victim. In this instance, withholding only the identifying information from the requestor would not preserve the victim's common-law right to privacy. Therefore, the city must withhold the submitted information in its entirety pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Paige Lay
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

PL/eeg

Ref: ID# 359854

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address your other arguments against disclosure.