



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 28, 2010

Ms. Janet Little Horton
Thompson & Horton, L.L.P.
711 Louisiana Street, Suite 2100
Houston, Texas 77002-2746

OR2010-01372

Dear Ms. Horton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 368561.

The Spring Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for specified invoices. You state that some of the submitted information has been redacted pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code.¹ You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under Texas Rule of Evidence 503, Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5, and Texas Disciplinary Rule of Professional Conduct 1.05.² We have

¹ The United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") has informed this office that FERPA does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act. The DOE has determined that FERPA determinations must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education records. We have posted a copy of the letter from the DOE to this office on the Attorney General's website: <http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf>.

² Although you also raise section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with rules 503 and 192.5, this office has concluded that section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 1-2 (2002), 575 at 2 (1990).

considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of the requested information.³

The submitted information is subject to section 552.022(a)(16) of the Government Code, which provides that information in a bill for attorney's fees must be released unless it is privileged under the attorney-client privilege or is expressly confidential under other law. *See Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(16)*. We note the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct are not considered other law for purposes of section 552.022. Therefore, we do not address your argument under Rule 1.05, and thus, none of the submitted information may be withheld on this basis. *See ORD 676 at 3-4*. The Texas Supreme Court has held that the Texas Rules of Evidence and the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). We will therefore consider your arguments under Texas Rule of Evidence 503 and Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5.

Rule 503 enacts the attorney-client privilege, providing in relevant part:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

- (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
- (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
- (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;
- (D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or
- (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

³We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988)*. This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is “confidential” if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Thus, in order to withhold information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ). However, section 552.022(a)(16) of the Government Code provides that information “that is *in* a bill for attorney’s fees” is not excepted from required disclosure unless it is confidential under other law or privileged under the attorney-client privilege. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(16) (emphasis added). This provision, by its express language, does not permit the entirety of an attorney fee bill to be withheld. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 (2002) (attorney fee bill cannot be withheld in entirety on basis it contains or is attorney-client communication pursuant to language in section 552.022(a)(16)), 589 (1991) (information in attorney fee bill excepted only to extent information reveals client confidences or attorney’s legal advice). This office has found that only information that is specifically demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege or made confidential by other law may be withheld from fee bills. *See* ORD No. 676.

You indicate that the submitted attorney fee bills contain confidential communications between the district’s attorneys and the district that were made for the purposes of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the district. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we agree that a portion of the attorney fee bills contain information that reveals confidential communications between privileged parties. Accordingly, the district may withhold the information we have marked under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. We note, however, that you have failed to identify some of the parties to some of the communications or explain their relationship with the district. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 at 8 (governmental body must inform this office of identities and capacities of individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made; this office cannot necessarily assume that communication was made only among categories of individuals identified in rule 503). Accordingly, as you have failed to demonstrate how any of portion of the remaining information constitute attorney-client communications, we conclude that Texas Rule of Evidence 503 is not applicable to the remaining information at issue and it may not be withheld on this basis.

Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5 encompasses the attorney work product privilege. For purposes of section 552.022 of the Government Code, information is confidential under rule 192.5 only to the extent that the information implicates the core work product aspect of the work product privilege. *See* Open Records Decision No. 677 at 9-10 (2002). Rule 192.5 defines core work product as the work product of an attorney or an attorney's representative, developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial, that contains the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of the attorney or the attorney's representative. *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5(a), (b)(1). Accordingly, in order to withhold attorney core work product from disclosure under rule 192.5, a governmental body must demonstrate that the material was (1) created for trial or in anticipation of litigation when the governmental body received the request for information and (2) consists of an attorney's or the attorney's representative's mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories. *Id.*

The first prong of the work product test, which requires a governmental body to show that the information at issue was created in anticipation of litigation, has two parts. A governmental body must demonstrate that (1) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue, and (2) the party resisting discovery believed in good faith that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue and conducted the investigation for the purpose of preparing for such litigation. *See Nat'l Tank v. Brotherton*, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A "substantial chance" of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather "that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear." *Id.* at 204. The second prong of the work product test requires the governmental body to show that the documents at issue contains the attorney's or the attorney's representative's mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories. TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5(b)(1). A document containing core work product information that meets both prongs of the work product test is confidential under rule 192.5 provided the information does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 192.5(c). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You state portions of the submitted information pertains to legal services related to litigation matters or matters the district reasonably believed there was a substantial chance of litigation involving the district. You state the information you have marked reveals attorney thoughts or strategies related to pending or anticipated litigation. Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we agree that some of the information the district has marked is protected core work product. Accordingly, we find that the district may withhold that information, which we have marked, under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5. However, we conclude you have failed to demonstrate that the remaining information at issue reflects the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of an attorney or an attorney's representative, and therefore, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5. We also note that in some of the remaining information you have failed to demonstrate that all parties to the communication


are privileged parties. *See* Tex. R. Evid. 511 (stating that a person waives a discovery privilege if he voluntarily discloses the privileged information). Thus, the district may not withhold these portions of the information under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5.

In summary, the district may withhold the information we have marked under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence and rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. As you raise no further exceptions against disclosure, the remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Chris Schulz
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CS/cc

Ref: ID# 368561

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)