



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 4, 2010

Ms. Bonnie Lee Goldstein
Bonnie Lee Goldstein, P.C.
P.O. Box 140940
Dallas, Texas 75214-0940

OR2010-08125

Dear Ms. Goldstein:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 381618.

The City of Princeton (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for all training evaluation records, to include any mental records, and any formal complaints for a named city police officer. You state the city has no information responsive to the request for formal complaints.¹ You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.²

We note section 552.022 of the Government Code is applicable to portions of the submitted information. Section 552.022(a)(1) provides for required public disclosure of "a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body[,]" unless the information is expressly confidential under other law or excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). In this instance, the submitted information contains a completed evaluation and a completed report, which we

¹We note the Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist at the time the request for information was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986).

²We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

have marked, that are subject to section 552.022(a)(1). Although you seek to withhold this information under section 552.103 of the Government Code, that section is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). As such, section 552.103 is not "other law" for the purposes of section 552.022. Therefore, the city may not withhold any of the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) under section 552.103. As you raise no further exceptions to disclosure for the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1), it must be released.

We next address your arguments for the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). When a governmental body receives a request for information that relates to pending or anticipated litigation, it may raise section 552.103 as an exception to disclosure in order to protect its litigation interests. *See Gov't Code 552.103*; Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990) (noting that predecessor to section 552.103 protects discovery process and avoids interference in matters properly resolved in court by excepting from disclosure information when access to such material is more appropriately sought through discovery). The governmental body claiming this exception bears the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to demonstrate the applicability of the exception. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); ORD 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

You state that criminal charges have been filed in the city's municipal court against the requestor for a moving violation prior to the date the request was made. We, therefore, agree that litigation to which the city is a party was pending on the date the city received the request. We further find that the submitted information relates to the pending litigation. Therefore, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.³

We note, however, that once the information at issue has been obtained by all parties to the pending litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to the information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, any information at issue that has either been obtained from or provided to all opposing parties in the pending litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a) and must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

In summary, the city must release the marked information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. The remaining information may be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Miles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JM/jb

³As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.

Ref: ID# 381618

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)