



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 29, 2010

Mr. Jason D. King
Akers & Boulware-Wells, LLP
6618 Sitio Del Rio Boulevard, Building E, Suite 102
Austin, Texas 78730

OR2010-09605

Dear Mr. King:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 384741.

The City of Balch Springs (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for police reports filed by and dash cam videos from the patrol vehicles of two named officers concerning a specified incident, and internal affairs documentation and other information pertaining to the incident. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also received and considered comments from the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Initially, we note you have not submitted information responsive to the portions of the request seeking police reports filed by two named officers concerning the specified incident. To the extent any information responsive to these portions of the request existed on the date the city received the request, we assume the city has released it. If the city has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

¹While you state the named officer's internal personnel file is protected from disclosure by section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code, we understand you to raise section 552.101 of the Government Code, as this is the proper exception for the substance of your argument.

Next, the requestor contends the city did not timely respond to his request for information. Pursuant to section 552.301(a) of the Government Code, a governmental body that receives a written request for information that it wishes to withhold from public disclosure must ask for a decision from the attorney general about whether the responsive information is subject to an exception under Subchapter C. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(a). Pursuant to section 552.301(d), the governmental body must provide the requestor, within ten business days after the date of its receipt of the request for information, a statement the governmental body has asked for a decision from the attorney general and a copy of the governmental body's written communication to the attorney general asking for a decision. *See id.* § 552.301(d). You state, and provide documentation showing, the city received the present request for information on April 8, 2010. Thus, the city's ten business day deadline under subsection 552.301(d) was April 22, 2010. The envelope in which the city sent this office the information required under section 552.301(b) bears a postmark date of April 22, 2010. *See id.* § 552.308 (request is timely if sent by first class United States mail properly addressed with postage or handling charges prepaid and bears post office cancellation mark or receipt mark of carrier indicating time within that period). Additionally, the city's brief to this office contains a notation that the requestor was copied on the brief on that date. Whether the requestor was actually provided with a copy of the city's brief on April 22, 2010, is a question of fact. This office is unable to resolve disputes of fact in the open records ruling process. Accordingly, we must rely upon the facts alleged to us by the governmental body requesting our opinion, or upon those facts that are discernable from the documents submitted for our inspection. *See* Open Records Decision No. 522 at 4 (1990). Therefore, we conclude the city complied with the procedural requirements of section 552.301(d) and will address its arguments against disclosure.

Next, the requestor claims the submitted dash camera footage has been previously released to the local media. The Act does not permit the selective disclosure of information to the public. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.007(b), .021; Open Records Decision No. 463 at 1-2 (1987). Information that has been voluntarily released to a member of the public may not subsequently be withheld from another member of the public, unless public disclosure of the information is expressly prohibited by law or the information is confidential under law. *See* Gov't Code § 552.007(a); Open Records Decision Nos. 518 at 3 (1989), 490 at 2 (1988). *But see* Open Records Decision Nos. 579 (1990) (exchange of information among litigants in "informal" discovery is not "voluntary" release of information for purposes of statutory predecessor to section 552.007), 454 at 2 (1986) (governmental body that disclosed information because it reasonably concluded that it had constitutional obligation to do so could still invoke statutory predecessor to section 552.108). Accordingly, the city may not withhold previously released information unless its release is expressly prohibited by law or the information is confidential by law. Section 552.103 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). As such,

section 552.103 neither prohibits public disclosure of information nor makes information confidential under law. Therefore, if the city previously released the dash camera footage to the public, the dash camera footage may not be withheld from the present requestor under section 552.103. Conversely, if the city has not previously released the dash camera footage to the public, we will consider the applicability of section 552.103 to the information at issue.

Next, we note the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information is part of completed investigations that are subject to section 552.022(a)(1). The city must release the submitted information pursuant to section 552.022 unless it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or is expressly made confidential under other law. *See id.* You claim Exhibit B is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. Section 552.103 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects the governmental body's interests and therefore is not "other law" that makes information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.022(a). *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit*, 4 S.W.3d at 475-76; *see also* ORD 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Consequently, the city may not withhold any of the information in Exhibit B pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code. You raise section 552.101 of the Government Code for Exhibit C. Further, the information in Exhibit B contains information that is subject to sections 552.101 and 552.130 of the Government Code. Sections 552.101 and 552.130 are other laws for the purposes of section 552.022(a)(1). Therefore, we will consider the applicability of these sections to the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by section 143.089 of the Local Government Code. You inform us the city is a civil service city under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code.

Section 143.089 provides for the maintenance of two different types of personnel files for each police officer employed by a civil service city: one that must be maintained as part of the officer's civil service file and another that the police department may maintain for its own internal use. *See* Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a), (g). Under section 143.089(a), the

officer's civil service file must contain certain specified items, including commendations, periodic evaluations by the police officer's supervisor, and documents relating to any misconduct in any instance in which the department took disciplinary action against the officer under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. *Id.* § 143.089(a)(1)-(2). Chapter 143 prescribes the following types of disciplinary actions: removal, suspension, demotion, and uncompensated duty. *Id.* §§ 143.051-.055. In cases in which a police department investigates a police officer's misconduct and takes disciplinary action against an officer, it is required by section 143.089(a)(2) to place all investigatory records relating to the investigation and disciplinary action, including background documents such as complaints, witness statements, and documents of like nature from individuals who were not in a supervisory capacity, in the police officer's civil service file maintained under section 143.089(a). *See Abbott v. Corpus Christi*, 109 S.W.3d 113,122 (Tex. App.—Austin 2003, no pet.).

All investigatory materials in a case resulting in disciplinary action are "from the employing department" when they are held by or are in the possession of the department because of its investigation into a police officer's misconduct, and the department must forward them to the civil service commission for placement in the civil service personnel file. *Id.* Such records may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.089 of the Local Government Code. *See Local Gov't Code* § 143.089(f); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 6 (1990). Information relating to alleged misconduct or disciplinary action taken must be removed from the police officer's civil service file if the police department determines that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the charge of misconduct or that the disciplinary action was taken without just cause. *See Local Gov't Code* § 143.089(b)-(c).

Section 143.089(g) authorizes a police department to maintain, for its own use, a separate and independent internal personnel file relating to a police officer. *See id.* § 143.089(g). Section 143.089(g) provides as follows:

A fire or police department may maintain a personnel file on a fire fighter or police officer employed by the department for the department's use, but the department may not release any information contained in the department file to any agency or person requesting information relating to a fire fighter or police officer. The department shall refer to the director or the director's designee a person or agency that requests information that is maintained in the fire fighter's or police officer's personnel file.

Local Gov't Code § 143.089(g). In *City of San Antonio v. Texas Attorney General*, 851 S.W.2d 946 (Tex.App.—Austin 1993, writ denied), the court addressed a request for information contained in a police officer's personnel file maintained by the police department for its use and the applicability of section 143.089(g) to that file. The records included in the departmental personnel file related to complaints against the police officer for which no disciplinary action was taken. The court determined section 143.089(g) made

these records confidential. *See* 851 S.W.2d at 949; *see also City of San Antonio v. San Antonio Express-News*, 47 S.W.3d 556 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2000, no pet. h.) (restricting confidentiality under Local Gov't Code § 143.089(g) to “information reasonably related to a police officer’s or fire fighter’s employment relationship”); Attorney General Opinion JC-0257 at 6-7 (2000) (addressing functions of Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a) and (g) files).

You state Exhibit C is maintained in the city’s police department’s internal file pursuant to section 143.089(g). We note the information at issue consists of an internal investigation that did not result in disciplinary action. Based on your representation and our review, the information in Exhibit C is confidential under section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code and must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

We note Exhibit B contains medical records. Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the Medical Practice Act (“MPA”), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code. *See* Occ. Code §§ 151.001-165.160. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient’s behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Id. § 159.002(b)-(c). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). We have further found when a file is created as a result of a hospital stay, all the documents in the file referring to diagnosis and treatment constitute physician-patient communications or “[r]ecords of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician.” Open Records Decision No. 546 (1990). We note section 159.001 of the MPA defines “patient” as a person who consults with or is seen by a physician to receive medical care. *See* Occ. Code § 159.001(3).

Medical records must be released upon the patient’s signed, written consent, provided the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Any subsequent release of medical records must be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See id.* § 159.002(c); Open

Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). Exhibit B contains the requestor's medical records. Accordingly, the medical records we have marked may be released only in accordance with the MPA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information protected by section 773.091 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides in part:

(b) Records of the identity, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by emergency medical services personnel or by a physician providing medical supervision that are created by the emergency medical services personnel or physician or maintained by an emergency medical services provider are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

...

(g) The privilege of confidentiality under this section does not extend to information regarding the presence, nature of injury or illness, age, sex, occupation, and city of residence of a patient who is receiving emergency medical services.

Health & Safety Code § 773.091(b), (g). Exhibit B contains records made and maintained by emergency medical services personnel. Upon review, we find section 773.091 is applicable to the information we have marked. We note records that are confidential under section 773.091 may be disclosed to "any person who bears a written consent of the patient or other persons authorized to act on the patient's behalf for the release of confidential information." Health & Safety Code §§ 773.092(e)(4), .093. Section 773.093 provides a consent for release of EMS records must be written and signed by the patient, authorized representative, or personal representative and must specify: (1) the information or records to be covered by the release; (2) the reasons or purpose for the release; and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *Id.* § 773.093. Thus, if the city receives proper consent, the marked EMS records must be released in their entirety in accordance with chapter 773 of the Health and Safety Code. If the city does not receive proper consent, then with the exception of the information subject to section 773.091(g), which is not confidential, the marked EMS records must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 773.091(b) of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information protected by chapter 411 of the Government Code, which makes confidential criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center. *See id.* § 411.083(a). Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual laws with respect to the CHRI it generates. *See id.*

Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except that DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083. Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090-.127. Thus, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. Upon review, we find a portion of the submitted information, which we have marked, consists of CHRI that is confidential under section 411.083. Thus, the city must withhold the marked information under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally protected by common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history). This office has also found a compilation of an individual's criminal history is highly embarrassing information, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person. *Cf. U. S. Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749, 764 (1989) (when considering prong regarding individual's privacy interest, court recognized distinction between public records found in courthouse files and local police stations and compiled summary of information and noted that individual has significant privacy interest in compilation of one's criminal history). Furthermore, we find a compilation of a private citizen's criminal history is generally not of legitimate concern to the public. Upon review of the remaining information, we find the information we have marked within the submitted documents, as well as the information we have noted within the submitted compact and digital video discs, is highly intimate or embarrassing and is not of legitimate public interest. Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we have marked and noted under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Portions of the remaining information are subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by a Texas agency is excepted from public release.² Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). Upon review, we find portions of the submitted documents and compact and digital video discs contain Texas motor vehicle record information. Accordingly, the city must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information we have marked within the remaining documents, as well as the information we have noted within the submitted compact and digital video discs, under section 552.130.

In summary, the city must withhold the information in Exhibit C under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. The medical records we have marked in Exhibit B may be released only in accordance with the MPA. If the city does not receive proper consent, then with the exception of the information subject to section 773.091(g) of the Health and Safety Code, the marked EMS records must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 773.091(b) of the Health and Safety Code. The city must withhold the marked CHRI under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 411.083 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the information marked in the remaining documents and that which is noted on the compact and digital video discs in Exhibit B under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The city must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information we have marked within the submitted documents, as well as the information noted in the submitted compact and digital video discs, under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.³

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

³We note the information being released contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.147(b). However, in this instance, the requestor has a right of access to his own social security number and it must be released to him. Further, the information being released in this instance includes information that may be confidential with respect to the general public. *See generally id.* § 552.023(b) (person or person's authorized representative has a special right of access to records that contain information relating to the person that are protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests). Therefore, if the city receives another request for this information from an individual other than this requestor, the city must again seek a ruling from this office.

responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/jb

Ref: ID# 384741

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)