



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

July 27, 2010

Ms. YuShan Chang  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Houston  
P.O. Box 1562  
Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR2010-11230

Dear Ms. Chang:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 388218.

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for information pertaining to the Depository Banking Services Request for Proposals. You state that some information has been made available to the requestor. The city takes no position as to the release of the submitted information; however, you indicate that its release may implicate the proprietary interests of JP Morgan Chase ("JP Morgan"). Accordingly, you inform us, and provide documentation showing, that pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, the city has notified JP Morgan of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office explaining why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to it should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received arguments from JP Morgan. Thus, we have no basis for concluding that any portion of the submitted information constitutes JP Morgan's proprietary information. *See id.*

§ 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information based on the proprietary interests of JP Morgan.

We note portions of the submitted information are subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Section 552.136(b) states “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136(b). The city must withhold the submitted transit routing numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

Additionally, we note that some of the remaining information appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the city must withhold the transit routing numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php).

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception like section 552.136 on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>2</sup>We note this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including bank routing numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James McGuire  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JM/dls

Ref: ID# 388218

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Arthur K. Neville  
Senior Vice President  
JP Morgan Chase  
712 Main Street, Ninth Floor North  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(w/o enclosures)