



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 29, 2010

Ms. Karen Brophy
Senior Assistant City Attorney
City of Irving
825 West Irving Boulevard
Irving, Texas 75060

OR2010-11388

Dear Ms. Brophy:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 388455.

The City of Irving (the "city") received a request for a copy of all e-mails, text messages, and Blackberry PIN messages sent among six named individuals during a specified time period. You state that you have released most of the requested information. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Las Colinas Group, LP ("Las Colinas"). Thus, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you have notified Las Colinas of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under certain circumstances). We have received comments from Las Colinas's representative. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." Gov't Code § 552.111. This exception encompasses the deliberative process privilege. *See* Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993). The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. *See Austin v. City of San Antonio*, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990). In ORD 615, this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety*

v. Gilbreath, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined that section 552.111 excepts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. *See* ORD 615 at 5. A governmental body's policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, as disclosure of information about such matters will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. *Id.*; *see also City of Garland v. The Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). A governmental body's policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body's policy mission. *See* Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995). Moreover, section 552.111 does not protect facts and written observations of facts and events that are severable from advice, opinions, and recommendations. *See* ORD 615 at 5. But if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendation as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

This office also has concluded that a preliminary draft of a document that is intended for public release in its final form necessarily represents the drafter's advice, opinion, and recommendations with regard to the form and content of the final document, so as to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 559 at 2 (1990) (applying statutory predecessor). Section 552.111 protects factual information in the draft that also will be included in the final version of the document. *See id.* at 2-3. Thus, section 552.111 encompasses the entire contents, including comments, underlining, deletions, and proofreading marks, of a preliminary draft of a policymaking document that will be released to the public in its final form. *See id.* at 2.

Further, section 552.111 can encompass communications between a governmental body and a third party consultant. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 631 at 2 (section 552.111 encompasses information created for governmental body by outside consultant acting at governmental body's request and performing task that is within governmental body's authority), 563 at 5-6 (1990) (private entity engaged in joint project with governmental body may be regarded as its consultant), 561 at 9 (1990) (section 552.111 encompasses communications with party with which governmental body has privity of interest or common deliberative process), 462 at 14 (1987) (section 552.111 applies to memoranda prepared by governmental body's consultants). For section 552.111 to apply, the governmental body must identify the third party and explain the nature of its relationship with the governmental body. Section 552.111 is not applicable to a communication between the governmental body and a third party unless the governmental body establishes it has a privity of interest or common deliberative process with the third party. *See* ORD 561 at 9.


You state the submitted information is a draft document prepared by the Innovation Group, which is a consultant of the city, that contains advice, opinions, and recommendations pertaining to the city's proposed Las Colinas Entertainment Center community project.

Additionally, you state the submitted document will be released to the public in its final form. Based on your representations and our review, we find you have established the deliberative process privilege is applicable to the submitted draft document. Accordingly, the city may withhold the submitted document in its entirety under section 552.111 of the Government Code.¹ See ORD 559 at 2.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James McGuire
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JM/dls

Ref: ID# 388455

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Amy Rickers
Munsch, Hardt, Kopf & Harr, P.C.
For Las Colinas Group, L.P.
500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800
Dallas, Texas 75201-6659
(w/o enclosures)

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address Las Colinas's remaining argument against disclosure.