



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 24, 2010

Mr. W. Montgomery Meitler
Assistant Counsel
Texas Education Agency
1701 North Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701-1494

OR2010-12862

Dear Mr. Meitler:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 392681 (TEA PIR # 13421).

The Texas Education Agency (the "agency") received a request for the follow three categories of information: (1) communications between San Antonio Independent School District (the "district") and Child Protective Services regarding the requestor or certain alleged TAKS violation against the requestor from 2007; (2) communications between the district and the agency regarding the same alleged TAKS violation against the requestor or other similar alleged TAKS violations at a specified district school in April, 2007; and (3) communications between the district and the agency regarding similar alleged TAKS violations at the same district school in 2006.¹ You state the agency does not have

¹You state the agency sought and received clarification from the requestor regarding the third category of the request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (stating if information requested is unclear to governmental body or if large amount of information has been requested, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify or narrow request, but may not inquire into purpose for which information will be used); *see also City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 384 (Tex. 2010) (where governmental body seeks clarification or narrowing of request for information, ten-day period to request attorney general opinion is measured from the date request is clarified or narrowed).

information responsive to the first or third categories of the request.² You also state the agency will release a portion of the information responsive to the second category of the request. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.116 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.116 of the Government Code provides as follows:

(a) An audit working paper of an audit of the state auditor or the auditor of a state agency, an institution of higher education as defined by Section 61.003, Education Code, a county, a municipality, a school district, or a joint board operating under Section 22.074, Transportation Code, including any audit relating to the criminal history background check of a public school employee, is excepted from [required public disclosure]. If information in an audit working paper is also maintained in another record, that other record is not excepted from [public disclosure] by this section.

(b) In this section:

(1) "Audit" means an audit authorized or required by a statute of this state or the United States, the charter or an ordinance of a municipality, an order of the commissioners court of a county, a resolution or other action of a board of trustees of a school district, including an audit by the district relating to the criminal history background check of a public school employee, or a resolution or other action of a joint board described by Subsection (a) and includes an investigation.

(2) "Audit working paper" includes all information, documentary or otherwise, prepared or maintained in conducting an audit or preparing an audit report, including:

(A) intra-agency and interagency communications; and

(B) drafts of the audit report or portions of those drafts.

Gov't Code § 552.116. You state the submitted information consists of audit working papers "maintained by [the agency] in conducting investigations of testing irregularities in the

²The Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received, create responsive information, or obtain information that is not held by or on behalf of the governmental body. See *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986).

administration of statewide assessment instruments.” You inform us that section 39.057(a)(8) of the Education Code “permits the [c]ommissioner of [e]ducation to authorize special accreditation investigations to be conducted in response to an allegation regarding or an analysis using a statistical method result indicating a possible violation of an assessment instrument security procedure.” See Educ. Code § 39.057 (listing circumstances in which the commissioner shall authorize investigations). Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we agree that section 552.116 is applicable in this instance. We therefore conclude the agency may withhold the submitted information pursuant to section 552.116 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Bob Davis
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RSD/tp

Ref: ID# 392681

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)