



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 30, 2010

Ms. Sharon Alexander  
Associate General Counsel  
Texas Department of Transportation  
125 East 11th Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2010-14395

Dear Ms. Alexander:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 395266.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for the certified payroll records of three specified subcontractors participating in the US 181 bridge restoration project. You state the requested information for two of the specified subcontractors does not exist.<sup>1</sup> You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You also state release of the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of Intech Contracting, L.L.C. ("Intech"). Thus, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified Intech of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why its information should not be released. Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under in

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when it received a request or create responsive information. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986).

certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. Prior decisions of this office have determined personal financial information not related to a transaction between an individual and a governmental body generally meets the first prong of the common-law privacy test. *See generally* Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992). However, whether financial information is subject to a legitimate public interest and, therefore, not protected by common-law privacy must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 373 (1983).

The submitted certified payroll records pertain solely to transactions between Intech and its employees. The department informs this office federal law provides, as a requisite to the receipt of federal funding for construction projects exceeding two thousand dollars, that state transportation agencies must include certain provisions in the agencies' contracts with contractors. In this instance, you represent one such provision requires the department to receive and retain its contractors' payroll records so the Federal Highway Administration, the Department of Labor, the General Accounting Office, or other agency can audit those records to ensure the contractors' compliance with applicable federal wage regulations. *See* 23 C.F.R. § 635.118. You additionally inform this office the department has not itself used the payroll records for any public purpose, other than receiving and retaining them for review by a federal agency. Therefore, based on these facts, we conclude there is no legitimate public interest in release of the submitted certified payroll records at this time, and the department must withhold these records in their entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

---

<sup>2</sup>We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James McGuire  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JM/sdk

Ref: ID# 395266

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Intech Contracting LLC  
3131 Custer Drive #8  
Lexington, Kentucky 40517  
(w/o enclosures)