



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 30, 2010

Ms. J. Middlebrooks
Assistant City Attorney
City of Dallas
1400 South Lamar
Dallas, Texas 75215

OR2010-14884

Dear Ms. Middlebrooks:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 395730 (ORR# 2010-6504).

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for e-mails to or from a named individual between June 20, 2010, and July 15, 2010. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.117, 552.130, 552.136, and 552.137 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of the requested information.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the common-law right of privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

established. *See id.* at 681-82. The types of information considered intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *See id.* at 683. This office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). In addition, a compilation of an individual's criminal history is highly embarrassing information, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person. *Cf. U. S. Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749, 764 (1989) (finding significant privacy interest in compilation of individual's criminal history by recognizing distinction between public records found in courthouse files and local police stations and compiled summary of criminal history information). Furthermore, a compilation of a private citizen's criminal history is generally not of legitimate concern to the public. Upon review, the information we have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, no portion of the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Therefore, no portion of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [if] release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state that the information you have marked relates to a pending criminal investigation. Based on this representation, we conclude that the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). The department may withhold the information you marked under section 552.108(a)(1).

Section 552.108(b)(1) excepts from required public disclosure an internal record of a law enforcement agency maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution if "release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution." Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1). Section 552.108(b)(1) encompasses internal law enforcement and prosecution records, the release of which would interfere with on-going law enforcement and prosecution efforts in general. *City of Fort*

Worth v. Cornyn, 86 S.W.3d 320, 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.) (section 552.108(b)(1) protects information that, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate state laws). The statutory predecessor to section 552.108(b)(1) protected information that would reveal law enforcement techniques. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (1989) (release of detailed use of force guidelines would interfere with law enforcement), 456 (1987) (release in advance of information regarding location of off-duty police officers would interfere with law enforcement), 413 (1984) (release of sketch showing security measures to be used at next execution would interfere with law enforcement), 409 (1984) (information regarding certain burglaries protected if it exhibits pattern that reveals investigative techniques), 341 (1982) (release of certain information from Department of Public Safety would hamper departmental efforts to detect forgeries of drivers' licenses), 252 (1980) (statutory predecessor was designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted). The statutory predecessor to section 552.108(b)(1) was not applicable, however, to generally known policies and procedures. *See, e.g.*, ORDs 531 at 2-3 (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force not protected), 252 at 3 (governmental body failed to indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known).

You state release of the information you have marked pertains to the activities of the Criminal Intelligence Unit, which handles matters related to terrorism, intelligence gathering, and protective services. You further state the marked information identifies threats to national security. Based on these representations and our review, we find the release of information you have marked would interfere with law enforcement. Therefore, we find the department may withhold the information you have marked under section 552.108(b)(1).

You also raise section 552.108(b)(1) for the officers' cellular telephone numbers. In Open Records Decision No. 506 (1988), this office determined the statutory predecessor to section 552.108(b) excepted from disclosure "cellular mobile [telephone] numbers assigned to county officials and employees with specific law enforcement responsibilities." ORD 506 at 2. We noted the purpose of the cellular telephones was to ensure immediate access to individuals with specific law enforcement responsibilities and that public access to these numbers could interfere with that purpose. *Id.*

You inform us the cellular telephone numbers you have marked are assigned to department police officers "in the field to carry out their law enforcement responsibilities." You assert the release of the marked cellular telephone numbers would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we conclude the department may withhold the cellular telephone numbers you have marked under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

You claim portions of the remaining information are protected under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from public disclosure the home addresses, home telephone numbers, and social security number of a peace officer, as well as information that reveals whether the peace officer has family members, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with sections 552.024 and 552.1175 of the Government Code.² Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2). Therefore, the department must withhold the information you have marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code.³

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]" Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). Accordingly, the department must withhold the information you have marked pursuant to section 552.130.

Section 552.136 provides that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." *Id.* § 552.136. An access device number is one that may be used to (1) obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value, or (2) initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument, and includes an account number. *Id.* § 552.136(a). You represent the marked information consists of an employee's identification number, which is also used as the employee's credit union bank account numbers. Thus, the department must withhold the employee identification number we have marked under section 552.136. However, we note we are unable to identify, and you have failed to explain, how any of the remaining information you have marked under section 552.136 consists of an employee identification number. Accordingly, you may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

You seek to withhold e-mail addresses contained in the remaining submitted information pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code. Section 552.137 of the Government Code states that "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under [the Act]," unless the owner of the e-mail address has affirmatively consented to its public disclosure. *Id.* § 552.137(a)-(b). The types of e-mail addresses listed in section 552.137(c) may not be withheld under this exception. *See id.* § 552.137(c). You state that the owners of the addresses have not consented to their release.

²"Peace officer" is defined by Article 2.12 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure.

³We note the previous determination issued in Open Records Decision No. 670 (2001) authorizes a governmental body to withhold the home addresses and telephone numbers, personal cellular telephone and pager numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of its peace officers under section 552.117(a)(2) without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

Therefore, the department must withhold the information you marked, and the information we marked, under section 552.137.

In summary, the department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The department may withhold the information you marked under section 552.108. The department must withhold the information you marked, and the information we marked, under sections 552.117, 552.130, and 552.137. The department must withhold the information we marked under section 552.136. The remaining information must be released.⁴

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Chris Schulz
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CS/eb

Ref: ID# 395730

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

⁴ We note that this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including a Texas driver's license number under section 552.130 and a private e-mail address under section 552.137, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.