



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 30, 2010

Ms. J. Middlebrooks  
Assistant City Attorney  
Criminal Law and Police Section  
City of Dallas  
1400 South Lamar  
Dallas, Texas 75215

OR2010-14928

Dear Ms. Middlebrooks:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 395274 (DPD PIR No. 2010-6396).

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for a specified internal affairs investigation of a named officer. You claim that some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the common-law right to privacy. Information is protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy when (1) it is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of information submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

ordinary sensibilities, and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82.

You argue that the information you have marked is confidential pursuant to common-law privacy and "special circumstances." You argue that release of this information would place the named officer's life at risk. However, the Third Court of Appeals recently ruled that the "special circumstances" exception found in past Attorney General Open Records Decisions directly conflicts with Texas Supreme Court precedent regarding common-law privacy. *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Cox Tex. Newspapers, L.P. and Hearst Newspapers, L.L.C.*, 287 S.W.3d 390 (Tex. App.—Austin 2009, pet. granted). The court of appeals ruled that the two-part test set out in *Industrial Foundation* is the "sole criteria" for determining whether information can be withheld under common-law privacy. *Id.*; *see also Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 686. Upon review, we find that no portion of the information you have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing. As you have failed to meet the first prong of the *Industrial Foundation* test for privacy, we find that the information at issue is not confidential under common-law privacy and the department may not withhold it under section 552.101.

Section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code exempts from disclosure the internal records and notations of law enforcement agencies and prosecutors when their release would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 531 at 2 (1989) (quoting *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706, 710 (Tex. 1977)). Section 552.108(b)(1) is intended to protect "information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in a police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this State." *City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, S.W.3d 320 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.). To demonstrate the applicability of this exception, a governmental body must meet its burden of explaining how and why release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Open Records Decision No. 562 at 10 (1990). This office has concluded section 552.108(b) exempts from public disclosure information relating to the security or operation of a law enforcement agency. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (release of detailed use of force guidelines would unduly interfere with law enforcement), 252 (1980) (Gov't Code § 552.108 is designed to protect investigative techniques and procedures used in law enforcement), 143 (1976) (disclosure of specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime may be excepted). Section 552.108(b)(1) is not applicable, however, to generally known policies and procedures. *See, e.g.*, ORD 531 at 2-3 (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force not protected), 252 at 3 (governmental body failed to indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from those commonly known).

You state the information you have marked pertains to an officer involved in ongoing undercover narcotics investigations. We note that section 552.108 is generally not applicable to information relating to an administrative investigation that did not result in a criminal investigation or prosecution of the officer's alleged misconduct. *See Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519, 525-26 (Tex. Civ. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 at 3-4 (1982). However, you claim that release of the information you have marked would jeopardize officer and informant safety, permit private citizens to avoid detection, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this state. Based on your representation and our review, we find conclude the department may withhold the information you have marked under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]” Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). Accordingly, the department must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information you have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

You assert portions of the remaining information are excepted under section 552.136 of the Government Code. Section 552.136 provides “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” *Id.* § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). You inform us an employee's identification number is used in conjunction with one additional digit in order to access the employee's credit union account. Thus, we find the department must withhold the employee identification number you have marked under section 552.136.

In summary, the department may withhold the information it has marked under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code. The department must withhold the information it has marked under sections 552.130 and 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php),

---

<sup>2</sup>We note this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including Texas driver's license and license plate numbers under section 552.130 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jennifer Burnett", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jennifer Burnett  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JB/dls

Ref: ID# 395274

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)