



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 19, 2010

Ms. Candice M. De La Garza
Assistant City Attorney
City of Houston Legal Department
P.O. Box 368
Houston, Texas 77001-0368

OR2010-15850

Dear Ms De La Garza:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 397263 (PIR No. 1751 and 1925).

The City of Houston (the "city") received two requests from different requestors for the proposals and summary evaluation sheets pertaining to Request for Proposal T23535. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Ace Parking Management, Inc. ("Ace"); Ampco System Parking ("Ampco"); Central Parking System of Texas, Inc. ("Central"); LAZ Parking ("LAZ"); Republic Parking System, Inc. ("Republic"); and SP Plus Municipal Services ("SP") of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from LAZ. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, this office has not received comments from Ace, Ampco, Central, Republic, or SP explaining why each third party's submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that these third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of

commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any portion of the submitted information based upon the proprietary interests of Ace, Ampco, Central, Republic, or SP.

LAZ asserts some of its submitted information is subject to section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects: (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information, the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *Id.* § 552.110(a), (b). Section 552.110(a) protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *See id.* § 552.110(a). A “trade secret”

may consist of any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives [one] an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business, as, for example the amount or other terms of a secret bid for a contract or the salary of certain employees A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. Generally it relates to the production of goods, as, for example, a machine or formula for the production of an article. It may, however, relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958); Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company’s] business;
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company’s] business;

- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information; and
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* ORD 232. This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for exemption is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.* § 552.110(b); ORD 661.

LAZ claims its submitted shareholder information and financial information should be withheld as trade secrets. Having considered LAZ’s arguments under section 552.110(a), we determine that LAZ has failed to demonstrate that any portion of its submitted information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has it demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for this information. *See* RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b.; Open Records Decision No. 319 at 3 (statutory predecessor to section 552.110 generally not applicable to information relating to organization and personnel, market studies, professional references, and qualifications and experience). Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of LAZ’s submitted information on the basis of section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Upon review of LAZ’s arguments under section 552.110(b), we find LAZ has made only conclusory allegations that the release of submitted shareholder information and financial information would result in substantial damage to the company’s competitive position. Thus, LAZ has not demonstrated that substantial competitive injury would result from the release of any of its submitted information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and

personnel, professional references, market studies, and qualifications are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of LAZ's submitted information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

We note Republic's submitted information includes tax return information. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision."¹ Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information made confidential by section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code, which provides that tax return information is confidential. *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(a)(2), (b)(2)(A), (p)(8); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992); Attorney General Op. MW-372 (1981). Prior decisions of this office have held section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code renders tax return information confidential. *See, e.g.,* Attorney General Opinion H-1274 (1978) (tax returns). Section 6103(b) defines the term "return information" as "a taxpayer's identity, the nature, source, or amount of . . . income, payments, . . . deductions, exemptions, credits, assets, liabilities, net worth, tax liability, tax withheld, deficiencies, overassessments, or tax payments . . . or any other data, received by, recorded by, prepared by, furnished to, or collected by the Secretary [of the Internal Revenue Service] with respect to a return or . . . the determination of the existence, or possible existence, of liability . . . for any tax, penalty, . . . or offense[.]" *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(b)(2)(A). Federal courts have construed the term "return information" expansively to include any information gathered by the Internal Revenue Service regarding a taxpayer's liability under title 26 of the United States Code. *See Mallas v. Kolak*, 721 F. Supp. 748, 754 (M.D.N.C. 1989), *aff'd in part*, 993 F.2d 1111 (4th Cir. 1993). Therefore, the city must withhold the tax return information we marked in Republic's submitted proposal pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code.

We note that a portion of the remaining information is subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Section 552.136 states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. Accordingly, we find the city must withhold the bank account numbers, routing numbers, and insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.²

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

²We note this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including bank routing number, bank account numbers, and insurance policy numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.


Finally, we note that some of the materials at issue may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1978). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; see Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the city must withhold the tax return information we marked in Republic's submitted proposal pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code. The city must also withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released, but any information subject to copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Luttrall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JL/dls

Ref: ID# 397263

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestors
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Heather L. Mortimer
Compliance Officer
LAZ
15 Lewis Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06103
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Eric Holman
Ace Parking Management, Inc.
440 Louisiana Street, Suite 900
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Henry J. Abbott
Secretary
Central Parking System of Texas, Inc.
1001 McKinney, Suite 450
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jeff Jones
Regional Manager
SP Plus Municipal Services
1445 Ross, Suite 2310
Dallas, Texas 75202
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Sam Cherkaoui
Regional Vice President
Ampco System Parking
815 Walker Street, Suite 340
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Clarissa Aleman
Republic Parking System
1021 Main Street, Suite 1293
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)