



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 22, 2010

Mr. John C. West  
General Counsel  
Texas Department of Criminal Justice  
Office of the Inspector General  
4616 Howard Lane, Suite 250  
Austin, Texas 78728

OR2010-16065

Dear Mr. West:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 397701 (OIG ORR# 2010-00174).

The Office of the Inspector General of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (the "department") received a request for information on a named death row offender. You state you will release some of the requested information upon payment of charges. You state you will redact information under section 552.147 of the Government Code and the previous determination issued to the department in Open Records Letter No. 2005-01067 (2005).<sup>1</sup> You also state some of the requested records have been destroyed pursuant to the

---

<sup>1</sup>Open Records Letter No. 2005-01067 authorizes the department to withhold the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of its current or former employees under section 552.117(a)(3) of the Government Code, regardless of whether the current or former employee complies with section 552.1175 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting a decision under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (listing elements of first type of previous determination under section 552.301(a) of the Government Code). Further, section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

department's record retention schedule.<sup>2</sup> You claim portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.134 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information made confidential by statute, such as article 20.02(a) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which provides, "[t]he proceedings of the grand jury shall be secret." Crim. Proc. Code art. 20.02(a). In construing article 20.02 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the types of "proceedings" Texas courts have generally stated are secret are testimony presented to the grand jury and the deliberations of the grand jury. *See In re Reed*, 227 S.W.3d 273, 276 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2007, no pet.); *see also Stern v. State*, 869 S.W.2d 614 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist] 1994, no writ) (stating that anything that takes place before the bailiffs and grand jurors, including deliberations and testimony, is secret). You state a portion of the submitted information was "obtained as the fruits of a grand jury subpoena." However, you have not demonstrated any of the information at issue reveals grand jury testimony or deliberations of the grand jury. We therefore conclude the department may not withhold any of the information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with article 20.02 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the Medical Practice Act ("MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, which governs release of medical records. *See Occ. Code* §§ 151.001-165.160. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides, in relevant in part:

- (a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.
  
- (b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

---

<sup>2</sup>The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to release information that did not exist when the request was received or to create responsive information. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

*Id.* § 159.002(a)-(c). This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.002,.004; Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). Upon review, we find portions of the submitted information, which we have marked, constitute records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that were created or are maintained by a physician and information obtained from a patient's medical records. Accordingly, the marked medical records may only be released in accordance with the MPA.<sup>3</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information protected by chapter 411 of the Government Code, which makes confidential criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083(a). Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual laws with respect to the CHRI it generates. *See id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083. Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090-.127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* ORD 565. Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. The submitted information contains CHRI that is confidential under section 411.083. Thus, the department must withhold this information, which we have marked, under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive with respect to the information at issue, we need not address your remaining argument against its disclosure.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses common-law privacy. For information to be protected from public disclosure by the common-law right of privacy, the information must meet the criteria set out by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation v. Texas Industrial Accident Board*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court stated information is excepted from disclosure if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the release of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. 540 S.W.2d at 685. To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be demonstrated. *See id.* at 681-82. The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987) (information pertaining to prescription drugs, specific illnesses, operations and procedures, and physical disabilities protected from disclosure). This office has also found personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history), 523 (1989). Upon review, we find the information we have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Therefore, the department must withhold the marked information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses constitutional privacy. Constitutional privacy consists of two interrelated types of privacy: (1) the right to make certain kinds of decisions independently and (2) an individual's interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters. ORD 455 at 4. The first type protects an individual's autonomy within "zones of privacy," which include matters related to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education. *Id.* The second type of constitutional privacy requires a balancing between the individual's privacy interests and the public's need to know information of public concern. *Id.* The scope of information protected is narrower than that under the common-law doctrine of privacy; the information must concern the "most intimate aspects of human affairs." *Id.* at 5 (citing *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Texas*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985)).

This office has applied privacy to protect certain information about incarcerated individuals. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 430 (1985), 428 (1985), 185 (1978). Citing *State v. Ellefson*, 224 S.E.2d 666 (S.C. 1976), as authority, this office held those individuals who correspond with inmates possess a "first amendment right . . . to maintain communication

with [the inmate] free of the threat of public exposure.” This office ruled this right would be violated by the release of information that identifies those correspondents because such a release would discourage correspondence. *See* ORD 185. The information at issue in this ruling was the identities of individuals who had corresponded with inmates. In Open Records Decision No. 185, our office found that “the public’s right to obtain an inmate’s correspondence list is not sufficient to overcome the first amendment right of the inmate’s correspondents to maintain communication with him free of the threat of public exposure.” *Id.* Implicit in this holding is the fact that an individual’s association with an inmate may be intimate or embarrassing. In Open Records Decision Nos. 428 and 430, our office determined inmate visitor and mail logs that identify inmates and those who choose to visit or correspond with inmates are protected by constitutional privacy because people who correspond with inmates have a First Amendment right to do so that would be threatened if their names were released. ORD 430. Further, we recognized inmates had a constitutional right to visit with outsiders and could also be threatened if their names were released. *See also* ORD 185. The rights of those individuals to anonymity was found to outweigh the public’s interest in this information. *Id.*; *see* ORD 430 (list of inmate visitors protected by constitutional privacy of both inmate and visitors). We have marked inmate visitor information the department must withhold under section 552.101 in conjunction with constitutional privacy.

Next, you claim portions of the remaining information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108(b) of the Government Code. Section 552.108(b)(1) excepts from required public disclosure an internal record of a law enforcement agency maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution if “release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution.” Gov’t Code § 552.108(b)(1). A governmental body that seeks to withhold information under section 552.108(b)(1) must sufficiently explain how and why the release of the information would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320, 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.) (Gov’t Code § 552.108(b)(1) protects information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate state laws).

This office has on numerous occasions concluded section 552.108 excepts from public disclosure information relating to the security or operation of a law enforcement agency. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (1989) (holding that predecessor to section 552.108 excepts detailed guidelines regarding police department’s use of force policy), 508 (1988) (holding that release of dates of prison transfer could impair security), 413 (1984) (holding that predecessor to section 552.108 excepts sketch showing security measures for execution).

In this instance, you argue release of portions of the submitted information, which you have marked, could negatively impact security and would compromise department security measures. You state the information at issue reveals information regarding the rationale for

certain practices of security staff and techniques employed by staff to facilitate the maintenance of order among offenders on death row. You further state release of the information at issue could serve as the key to an escape, an abduction, or some other criminal act. Based on your arguments and our review, we conclude release of the information you have marked would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. Therefore, the department may withhold the marked information under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code.

You assert portions of the remaining information are subject to section 552.134 of the Government Code. Section 552.134 provides, in relevant part:

(a) Except as provided by Subsection (b) or by Section 552.029, information obtained or maintained by the [department] is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if it is information about an inmate who is confined in a facility operated by or under a contract with the department.

Gov't Code § 552.134(a). You state the information at issue consists of information about non-death row inmates who are confined in a facility operated by the department. You do not indicate the information at issue is made public by section 552.029 of the Government Code. Thus, we agree portions of the remaining information, which we have marked, are subject to section 552.134 of the Government Code. Therefore, the department must withhold the marked information under section 552.134 of the Government Code.

We note portions of the remaining information are subject to sections 552.136 and 552.137 of the Government Code.<sup>4</sup> Section 552.136 provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” *Id.* § 552.136(b). Section 552.136(a) defines “access device” as “a card, plate, code, account number, personal identification number, electronic serial number, mobile identification number, or other telecommunications service, equipment, or instrument identifier or means of account access that alone or in conjunction with another access device may be used to . . . obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value [or] initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument.” *Id.* § 552.136(a). Accordingly, the department must withhold the account numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail

---

<sup>4</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). Likewise, this section is not applicable to an institutional e-mail address, an Internet website address, or an e-mail address that a governmental entity maintains for one of its officials or employees. The e-mail addresses at issue are not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the department must generally withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners have affirmatively consented to their public disclosure. However, we note some of the marked e-mail addresses are associated with public universities. If these individuals are employees of the universities, then their e-mail addresses are not excepted under section 552.137 and must be released. If these individuals are students of the universities, then their e-mail addresses are excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 and must be withheld, unless the individuals at issue consent to their disclosure.<sup>5</sup>

In summary, the marked medical records may only be released in accordance with the MPA. The department must withhold (1) the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy; (2) the marked CHRI under section 552.101 of the Government Code; (3) the marked inmate visitor information under section 552.101 in conjunction with constitutional privacy; (4) the information we have marked under section 552.134 of the Government Code; and (5) the account numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The department must withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners have affirmatively consented to their public disclosure; however, if the marked public university e-mail addresses belong to employees of the universities, then those e-mail addresses are not excepted under section 552.137 and must be released. The department may withhold the information you have marked under section 552.108(b)(1) of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

---

<sup>5</sup>We note this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including an e-mail address of a member of the public under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Claire Morris Sloan". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Claire V. Morris Sloan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CVMS/tp

Ref: ID# 397701

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)