



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

November 29, 2010

Mr. Robert Martinez  
Director  
Environmental Law Division  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

OR2010-17797

Dear Mr. Martinez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 401137 (PIR No. 10.09.08.10).

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the "commission") received a request for all public information and any confidential business information pertaining to flexible permit alteration applications regarding specified permits and projects.<sup>1</sup> You state the commission has provided some of the requested information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You also state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Diamond Shamrock Refining ("Diamond Shamrock"); Praxair, Inc. ("Praxair"); Premcor Refining ("Premcor"); and Valero Refining Texas ("Valero"). Thus, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified these companies of the request and of each company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why its information should not be released. Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under the Act in

---

<sup>1</sup>The commission sought and received clarification from the requestor regarding the request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (stating if information requested is unclear to governmental body or if large amount of information has been requested, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify or narrow request, but may not inquire into purpose for which information will be used).

certain circumstances). We have received comments from Valero regarding the submitted information pertaining to Diamond Shamrock, Premcor, and Valero (collectively, "Valero"). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

The commission claims the submitted information, and Valero claims its submitted information, is subject to section 552.101 of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as section 382.041 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides "a member, employee, or agent of the commission may not disclose information submitted to the commission relating to secret processes or methods of manufacture or production that is identified as confidential when submitted." Health & Safety Code § 382.041(a). This office has concluded section 382.041 protects information that is submitted to the commission if a *prima facie* case is established that the information constitutes a trade secret under the definition set forth in the Restatement of Torts and if the submitting party identified the information as being confidential when submitting it to the commission. *See* Open Records Decision No. 652 (1997).

The commission represents Praxair and Valero marked the submitted documents as confidential when the companies provided the information to the commission.<sup>2</sup> Thus, the information at issue is confidential under section 382.041 to the extent this information constitutes a trade secret. As of the date of this letter, Praxair has not submitted comments to this office asserting any of its information constitutes a trade secret. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B) (interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure). Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of Praxair's submitted information constitutes a trade secret. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Consequently, the commission may not withhold any of Praxair's submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 382.041 of the Health and Safety Code. Moreover, because Praxair has failed to submit any arguments to our office, we have no basis to conclude release of any portion of its information would cause the company substantial competitive harm. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not

---

<sup>2</sup>We note information is ordinarily not confidential under the Act simply because the party submitting the information anticipates or requests that it be kept confidential. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 677 (Tex. 1976). In other words, a governmental body cannot, through an agreement or contract, overrule or repeal provisions of the Act. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987); Open Records Decision Nos. 541 at 3 (1990) ("[T]he obligations of a governmental body under [the Act] cannot be compromised simply by its decision to enter into a contract."), 203 at 1 (1978) (mere expectation of confidentiality by person supplying information does not satisfy requirements of statutory predecessor to section 552.110).

conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm). Consequently, the commission may not withhold any of Praxair's submitted information based on proprietary interests Praxair may have in its information. As no other exceptions to disclosure have been raised for Praxair's information, it must be released. However, because Valero asserts its information constitutes trade secrets and section 552.110(a) of the Government Code also protects trade secrets from disclosure, we will consider the applicability of section 382.041 together with Valero's arguments under section 552.110(a).

Valero claims its submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code, which protects trade secrets obtained from a person that are privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. Gov't Code § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of a "trade secret" from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a "trade secret" to be

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . It may . . . relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958). This office will accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under section 552.110(a) if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for the exception, and no one submits an argument that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors

have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim.<sup>3</sup> Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Valero argues its submitted information, which consists of emission calculation methods, data analysis methods for determining emission limits, and emission data, constitutes trade secrets under section 552.110(a). Based on Valero's arguments and our review of its submitted information, we conclude Valero has established its information constitutes trade secrets. Accordingly, the commission must generally withhold Valero's information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 382.041 of the Health & Safety Code and section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.<sup>4</sup> We note, however, under the federal Clean Air Act emission data must be made available to the public, even if the data otherwise qualifies as trade secret information. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 7414(c). Emission data is only subject to the release provision in section 7414(c) of title 42 of the United States Code if it was collected pursuant to subsection (a) of that section. *Id.* Thus, to the extent any of Valero's information constitutes emission data for the purposes of section 7414(c) of title 42 of the United States Code, the commission must release such information in accordance with federal law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

---

<sup>3</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:


- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

<sup>4</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address Valero's remaining argument against disclosure.

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Leah B. Wingerson  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LBW/dls

Ref: ID# 401137

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Dennis Payne  
Vice President and General Manager  
Valero  
Bill Greehey Refinery  
P.O. Box 9370  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9370  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. J. Greg Gentry  
Vice President and General Manager  
Valero  
Port Arthur Refinery  
P.O. Box 909  
Port Arthur, Texas 77641-0909  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Sal Viscontini  
Senior Vice President and General Manager  
Valero  
Texas City Refinery  
P.O. Box 3429  
Texas City, Texas 77592-3429  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Greg Corcoran  
Vice President and General Manager  
Valero  
McKee Refinery  
6701 FM 119  
Sunray, Texas 79086-2013  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Kiggans  
HS&E Director  
Valero  
Three Rivers Refinery  
P.O. Box 490  
Three Rivers, Texas 78071-0490  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Charles Lau  
Plant Manager  
Praxair, Inc.  
P.O. Box 1758  
Texas City, Texas 77592  
(w/o enclosures)