



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 11, 2011

Ms. Kate Fite
Assistant General Counsel
Office of the Governor
P.O. Box 12428
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2011-00565

Dear Ms. Fite:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 405547 (OOG 399-10).

The Office of the Governor (the "governor") received a request for the application of Convergen LifeSciences, Inc. ("Convergen") for a Texas Emerging Technology Fund (the "fund") grant. You state the governor asserts no exceptions under the Act but believes the responsive information may implicate the privacy and proprietary interests of Convergen. Accordingly, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified Convergen of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why its information should not be released. Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Convergen. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note Convergen states some of its information is subject to a confidentiality agreement and was submitted with the expectation of confidentiality. Convergen asserts the governor should withhold this information as confidential. However, information is not confidential under the Act simply because the party that submits the information anticipates or requests it be kept confidential. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 677 (Tex. 1976). In other words, a governmental body cannot overrule or repeal provisions of the Act through an agreement or contract. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987); Open Records Decision Nos. 541 at 3 (1990) ("[T]he obligations of a governmental body under [the Act] cannot be compromised simply by its decision to enter into a contract."), 203 at 1 (1978) (mere expectation of confidentiality by person supplying information does not satisfy requirements of statutory predecessor to section 552.110 of the

Government Code). Consequently, unless the submitted information comes within an exception to disclosure, it must be released, notwithstanding any expectation or agreement to the contrary.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as section 490.057 of the Government Code, which addresses the confidentiality of certain information pertaining to the fund. Section 490.057 provides:

Information collected by the governor's office, the [Texas Emerging Technology Advisory C]ommittee, or the committee's advisory panels concerning the identity, background, finance, marketing plans, trade secrets, or other commercially or academically sensitive information of an individual or entity being considered for an award from the fund is confidential unless the individual or entity consents to disclosure of the information.

Id. § 490.057. Convergen indicates it has not given its consent to the governor to disclose the information at issue. Convergen states the entirety of, and in the alternative, portions of, the information at issue concern the identity, background, finance, marketing plans, trade secrets, or other commercially or academically sensitive information of an entity being considered for an award from the fund. However, we note this provision applies only to an entity "being considered for an award from the fund." *Id.* Because Convergen received an award of funds and is no longer being considered for an award from the fund, section 490.057 no longer applies to the submitted information. Therefore, none of the information Convergen marked is confidential under section 490.057 of the Government Code and the governor may not withhold it from public disclosure on that basis.

Convergen also raises section 552.110 of the Government Code for some of the submitted information. Section 552.110 protects: (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *Id.* § 552.110(a), (b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1957); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the

business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776. In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939).

The following are the six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of the company;
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in the company's business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by the company to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to the company and its competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by the company in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

Id.; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; Open Records Decision No. 661 (1999).

Upon reviewing Convergen's brief, we find Convergen has established release of the information we have marked would cause it substantial competitive injury. Therefore, the governor must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. However, we find Convergen has made only conclusory allegations that release of its remaining information would result in substantial harm to its competitive position and has provided no specific factual or evidentiary showing to support such allegations. *See* ORD 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue); *see also* ORD 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Accordingly, the governor must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Furthermore, we conclude Convergen has not demonstrated the remainder of section 1.3 in its application consists of trade secrets. Consequently, the governor may not withhold Convergen's information under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Convergen also asserts section 1.3 is excepted under section 552.131(a) of the Government Code. Section 552.131(a) relates to economic development information and provides:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if the information relates to economic development negotiations involving a governmental body and a business prospect that the governmental body seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the territory of the governmental body and the information relates to:

- (1) a trade secret of the business prospect; or
- (2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

Gov't Code § 552.131(a). Section 552.131(a) excepts from disclosure only "trade secret[s] of [a] business prospect" and "commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." *Id.* This aspect of section 552.131 is co-extensive with section 552.110 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b). Because we have already disposed of Convergen's claims under section 552.110, the governor may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.131(a) of the Government Code.

Convergen also asserts portions of the remaining information is excepted under common-law privacy. Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information

contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). We note, however, common-law privacy protects the interests of individuals, not those of corporate and other business entities. See Open Records Decision Nos. 620 (1993) (corporation has no right to privacy), 192 (1978) (right to privacy is designed primarily to protect human feelings and sensibilities, rather than property, business, or other pecuniary interests); see also *United States v. Morton Salt Co.*, 338 U.S. 632, 652 (1950) (cited in *Rosen v. Matthews Constr. Co.*, 777 S.W.2d 434 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1989), *rev'd on other grounds*, 796 S.W.2d 692 (Tex. 1990)) (corporation has no right to privacy). Accordingly, the governor may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

In summary, the governor must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Sean Nottingham
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SN/vb

Ref: ID# 405547

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Convergen LifeSciences, Incorporated
9015 Mountain Ridge Drive, Suite 140
Austin, Texas 78759
(w/o enclosures)