



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 14, 2011

Mr. Tyler F. Wallach
Assistant City Attorney
City of Fort Worth
1000 Throckmorton Street, Suite 300
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

OR2011-00755

Dear Mr. Wallach:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 406074 (Fort Worth PIR Nos. W004529 and W004938).

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received two requests for information pertaining to a Request for Proposals No. 09-0229, On-Site Health Center Administrator/Operator. You state you have redacted insurance policy numbers from the submitted proposals under section 552.136 of the Government Code pursuant to Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).¹ While you take no position with respect to the public availability of the remaining information in the proposals, you state that the request may implicate the proprietary interests of CareHere, LLC; Take Care Health Systems, LLC ("Take Care"); Concentra Health Services, Inc.; CRA Associates, Inc.; HealthSmart Primary Care Clinics, LP ("HealthSmart"); and CIGNA. Accordingly, you notified these entities of this request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). Take Care and HealthSmart responded to the notice and have submitted comments to our office. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

¹Open Records Decision No. 684 is a previous determination issued by this office to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including insurance policy numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, this office has not received comments from the remaining third parties explaining why each third party's submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that these third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any portion of these companies' proposals based upon the proprietary interests of the remaining third parties. As no exceptions to the disclosure of this information have been raised, it must be released.

Take Care and HealthSmart assert their information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.104 of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104. Section 552.104, however, is a discretionary exception that protects only the interests of a governmental body, as distinguished from exceptions that are intended to protect the interests of third parties. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 592 (1991) (statutory predecessor to section 552.104 designed to protect interests of governmental body in competitive situation, and not interests of private parties submitting information to government), 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). As the city does not seek to withhold Take Care or HealthSmart's proposals under this exception, no portion of these proposals may be withheld on this basis.

Take Care argues that a portion of its proposal is excepted under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation

of the business . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.² RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note that pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939).

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5.

Upon review, we find that Take Care has made the specific factual or evidentiary showing that its pricing information, which we have marked, constitutes commercial or financial information the release of which would cause Take Care substantial competitive injury under

²The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

section 552.110(b). Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

However, upon review we find that Take Care has failed to establish a *prima facie* case that any portion of the remaining information at issue constitutes a trade secret protected by section 552.110(a). We also conclude that Take Care has failed to demonstrate that release of any of the remaining information at issue would cause it substantial competitive harm and, therefore, no portion of the remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 552.110(b). *See* ORD 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue). As no further exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kate Hartfield
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KH/em

Ref: ID# 406074

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

John Battey
Vice President Worksite Sales
Concentra Health Services Inc.
5080 Spectrum Drive #1200W
Addison, Texas 75001
(w/o enclosures)

Kevin Chambers
Vice President of Sales
Healthsmart Primary Care Clinics, LP
222 Las Colinas Boulevard #600N
Irving, Texas 75039
(w/o enclosures)

Betsy D'Acierno
Director of Operations, Texas
Carehere L.L.C.
Building 4, Suite 400
12301 Research Boulevard
Austin, Texas 78759
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Sara Bartan
Walgreens Health Law Division
1411 Lake Cook Road
Deerfield, Illinois 60015
(w/o enclosures)