



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 21, 2011

Mr. S. Cass Weiland
Patton Boggs, L.L.P.
For Montague County
2000 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1700
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2011-01052

Dear Mr. Weiland:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 406757.

Montague County (the "county"), which you represent, received a request for two categories of information, including any reports indicating county expenditures allocated or expenses incurred by the county in all civil or criminal cases where a specified county official was named as a defendant. You indicate the county has no information responsive to category two of the request.¹ You claim that the submitted information is privileged under Rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

We note the submitted information consists of attorney fee bills. Section 552.022(a)(16) of the Government Code provides for required public disclosure of "information that is in a bill for attorney's fees and that is not privileged under the attorney-client privilege," unless the information is expressly confidential under "other law." Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(16). The Texas Supreme Court has held that the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001).

¹In responding to a request for information under the Act, a governmental body is not required to disclose information that did not exist at the time the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990).

You assert the submitted attorney fee bills contain information that is privileged under the attorney-client privilege found in rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. Accordingly, we will address your attorney-client privilege claim for the submitted information.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503 enacts the attorney-client privilege. Rule 503(b)(1) provides as follows:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

- (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
- (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
- (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;
- (D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or
- (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is "confidential" if it is not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show the communication is confidential by explaining it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *See Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You assert the attorney fee bills must be withheld in their entirety under rule 503. However, section 552.022(a)(16) of the Government Code provides information “that is *in* a bill for attorney’s fees” is not excepted from required disclosure unless it is confidential under other law or privileged under the attorney-client privilege. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(16) (emphasis added). This provision, by its express language, does not permit the entirety of an attorney fee bill to be withheld. *See also* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 (attorney fee bill cannot be withheld in entirety on basis it contains or is attorney-client communication pursuant to language in section 552.022(a)(16)), 589 (1991) (information in attorney fee bill excepted only to extent information reveals client confidences or attorney’s legal advice). Thus, under rule 503, the county may withhold only the parts of the submitted attorney fee bills that you specifically demonstrate consist of privileged communications.

You state that the submitted attorney fee bills document communications between attorneys for the county and their client that were made in connection with the rendition of professional legal services to the county. You further state that the communications were intended to be and have remained confidential. We note that you have failed to identify some of the parties to the communications in the submitted attorney fee bills. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 at 8 (2002) (governmental body must inform this office of identities and capacities of individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made; this office cannot necessarily assume that communication was made only among categories of individuals identified in rule 503); *see generally* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1(A); *Strong v. State*, 773 S.W.2d 543, 552 (Tex. Crim. App. 1989) (burden of establishing attorney-client privilege is on party asserting it). Nevertheless, upon review, we are able to discern from the face of the documents that certain individuals are privileged parties. Accordingly, we conclude the county may withhold the information we have marked on the basis of the attorney-client privilege under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. We find that you have failed to demonstrate that the remaining information documents confidential communications that were made between privileged parties. Therefore, we conclude that Texas Rule of Evidence 503 is not applicable to the remaining information, and it may not be withheld on this basis.

We note the submitted information contains bank account numbers. Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”² Gov’t Code § 552.136(b). This office has determined that bank account numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. *See id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). Therefore, the county

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

must withhold the bank account numbers we have marked pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code.³

In summary, the county may withhold the information we have marked on the basis of the attorney-client privilege under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. The county must withhold the bank account numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Vanessa Burgess
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

VB/dls

Ref: ID# 406757

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

³We note that this office issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including bank account numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.