



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

May 3, 2011

Mr. Rodolfo Ramirez
Assistant District Attorney
Fort Bend County District Attorney's Office
301 Jackson Street, Room 101
Richmond, Texas 77469

OR2011-06020

Dear Mr. Ramirez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 416256.

The Fort Bend County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for the offense reports and TDC summary for a specified case.¹ You state the district attorney has released most of the requested information. You claim portions of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate


¹We note the district attorney sought and received clarification of the request. See Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (stating that if information requested is unclear to governmental body or if large amount of information has been requested, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify or narrow request, but may not inquire into purpose for which information will be used); see also *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 384 (Tex. 2010) (where governmental body seeks clarification or narrowing of request for information, ten-day period to request attorney general decision is measured from the date request is clarified or narrowed).

(Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. The types of information considered highly intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. Upon review, we find the information you have marked is either not highly intimate or embarrassing or is of legitimate public interest. Accordingly, the district attorney may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. As you raise no further exceptions, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Miles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JM/em

Ref: ID# 416256

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)