



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 26, 2011

Mr. Quentin D. Price
First Assistant City Attorney
City of Beaumont
P.O. Box 3827
Beaumont, TX 77704-3827

OR2011-07488

Dear Mr. Price

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 418725 (City reference number 03-32).

The City of Beaumont (the "city") received a request for 1) the make and model of any license plate reader and any necessary equipment attached to city police department ("department") vehicles; 2) department policies and procedures regarding such equipment; 3) the training records of department personnel who use such equipment; 4) the source of funding for such equipment; and 5) any document authorizing the use of such equipment and/or department personnel within the city limits of Port Arthur. You state the city does not have any information responsive to the request for policies and procedures.¹ You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. This section provides, in pertinent part:

¹The Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received or to prepare new information in response to a request. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

...

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3). In this instance, the submitted information includes information in accounts and a signed contract related to the receipt or expenditure of public funds. This information, which we have marked, is subject to section 552.022(a)(3) of the Government Code. Therefore, this information must be released under section 552.022 unless it is confidential under other law. You argue this information is excepted from disclosure by section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See id.* § 552.007; *Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). As such, section 552.103 is not other law that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022(a)(3). Therefore, the city may not withhold the information we have marked under section 552.103. As you raise no other exception to the disclosure of the information subject to section 552.022, it must be released. However, we will address your argument under section 552.103 for the remaining information that is not subject to section 552.022.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides in relevant part as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The purpose of section 552.103 is to protect the litigation interests of governmental bodies that are parties to the litigation at issue. *See id.* § 552.103(a); Open Records Decision No. 638 at 2 (1996) (section 552.103 only protects the litigation interests of the governmental body claiming the exception). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

You state, and provide documentation showing, that prior to city's receipt of this request, the city was a party to a pending civil lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Beaumont Division. You further state the remaining information relates to the pending litigation. Accordingly, we find that litigation was pending when the city received this request for information. We also find the remaining information relates to the pending litigation. Therefore, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

However, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded or is no longer realistically anticipated. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

In summary, the city must release the information we have marked that is subject to section 552.022(a)(3) of the Government Code. The remaining information may be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Laura Ream Lemus".

Laura Ream Lemus
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LRL/em

Ref: ID# 418725

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)