



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

May 31, 2011

Ms. Bertha A. Ontiveros
Assistant City Attorney
Office of the City Attorney
2 Civic Center Plaza, 9th Floor
El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2011-07654

Dear Ms. Ontiveros:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 418997.

The City of El Paso (the "city") received a request for information pertaining to solicitation number 2011-151R. You state you have released some of the requested information. Although the city takes no position as to the disclosure of the submitted information, you state that it may contain a third party's confidential and proprietary information subject to exception under the Act.¹ Accordingly, you have notified G4S Secure Solutions (USA) Inc. ("G4S") of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the city's procedural obligations under the Act. Section 552.301 describes the procedural obligations placed on a governmental body that receives a written request for information it wishes to withhold. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), the

¹Although you raise section 552.101 of the Government Code, you provide no arguments explaining how this exception is applicable to the submitted information. Thus, we presume you have withdrawn your claim under this exception. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why exceptions raised should apply to information requested), .302.

governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within ten business days after receiving the request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b). In this instance, you state the city received the request for information on March 4, 2011. However, you did not request a ruling from this office until March 23, 2011. *See id.* § 552.308 (describing rules for calculating submission dates of documents sent via first class United States mail). Consequently, we find the city failed to comply with the requirements of section 552.301 in requesting this decision from our office.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption the requested information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Generally, a compelling reason to withhold information exists where some other source of law makes the information confidential or where third party interests are at stake. Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Because third party interests can provide a compelling reason to withhold information, we will consider whether any of the submitted information is excepted under the Act.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from G4S explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude G4S has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the submitted information based upon the proprietary interests of G4S. As no exceptions against the disclosure of the submitted information have been raised, it must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Nneka Kanu
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

NK/em

Ref: ID# 418997

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kay Glancey
Manager, Business Development & Operations
G4S Secure Solutions Inc.
955 North Resler, Suites 104-109
El Paso, Texas 79912
(w/o enclosures)