



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

June 1, 2011

Mr. Robert Thomas  
Director  
Community Supervision & Corrections Department  
County of Guadalupe  
209 East Donegan  
Seguin, Texas 78155-6196

OR2011-07725

Dear Mr. Thomas:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 424186.

The Guadalupe County Community Supervision and Corrections Department (the "department") received a request for information relating to a named individual and a specified cause number. You contend the requested information is not subject to disclosure under the Act. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the information you submitted.

The Act generally requires the disclosure of information maintained by a "governmental body." *See* Gov't Code § 552.021. Although the Act's definition of a "governmental body" is broad, it specifically excludes "the judiciary." *See id.* § 552.003(1)(A)-(B). In Open Records Decision No. 646 (1996), this office determined that a community supervision and corrections department is a governmental body for the purposes of the Act and that its administrative records, such as personnel records and other records reflecting day-to-day management decisions, are subject to the Act. *Id.* at 5. We further concluded, however, that specific records held by a community supervision and corrections department regarding individuals on probation and subject to the direct supervision of a court are not subject to the Act because such records are held on behalf of the judiciary. *Id.*


You state the submitted information pertains to a criminal defendant who is subject to the felony community supervision of the 274<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court. You contend this information is maintained by the department on behalf of the court. You explain probation

officers are subject to the district judge's supervision and control. Based on your representations, we find the information at issue is maintained by the department on behalf of the judiciary. See ORD 646 at 2-3; see also *Benavides v. Lee*, 665 S.W.2d 151 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1983, no writ) (in determining whether governmental entity falls within judiciary exception, attorney general looks to whether governmental entity maintains relevant records as agent of judiciary with regard to judicial, as opposed to administrative, functions). We therefore conclude the submitted information is not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James W. Morris, III  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JWM/em

Ref: ID# 424186

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)