



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

June 13, 2011

Ms. Sharon Alexander  
Associate General Counsel  
Texas Department of Transportation  
125 East 11<sup>th</sup> Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2011-08349

Dear Ms. Alexander:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 425184.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for bridge plans and bridge inspection records pertaining to four specified roadways. You state there is no responsive information for two of the specified roadways.<sup>1</sup> You state the department is releasing some of the requested information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code and section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>2</sup>

We note that the submitted information contains completed bridge inspection reports subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(1) provides for required public disclosure of "a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body[.]" unless the information is expressly confidential under other law or excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). You seek to withhold the bridge inspection reports under section 552.111 of the Government Code. However, section 552.111 is discretionary in nature and does not

---

<sup>1</sup>The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to create information that did not exist when the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990).

<sup>2</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. See Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 470 at 7 (1987) (statutory predecessor to section 552.111 subject to waiver). Thus, the department may not withhold the submitted bridge inspection reports under section 552.111 of the Government Code. However, the department also contends the inspection reports are excepted from disclosure under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. We note that section 409 is "other law" for purposes of section 552.022(a). See *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001); see also *Pierce County v. Guillen*, 537 U.S. 129 (2003) (upholding constitutionality of section 409, relied on by county in denying request under state's Public Disclosure Act). Accordingly, we will consider your argument under section 409 for the information subject to section 552.022, along with your argument under section 552.111 for the remaining information not subject to section 552.022.

You contend the completed bridge inspection reports are excepted from disclosure under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. Section 409 provides:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 148 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

23 U.S.C. § 409. Federal courts have stated section 409 excludes from evidence data compiled for purposes of highway and railroad crossing safety enhancement and construction for which a state receives federal funding, in order to facilitate candor in administrative evaluations of highway safety hazards and to prevent federally required record-keeping from being used for purposes of private litigation. See *Harrison v. Burlington N. R.R.*, 965 F.2d 155, 160 (7th Cir. 1992); *Robertson v. Union Pac. R.R.*, 954 F.2d 1433, 1435 (8th Cir. 1992); see also *Pierce*, 537 U.S. 129 (2003) (upholding constitutionality of 23 U.S.C. § 409, relied on by county in denying request under state's Public Disclosure Act).

You state "[b]ridges, including bridges located on the National Highway System or the state highway system or off-system on public roads are always eligible for federal aid under 23 U.S.C. § 144 and therefore are federal-aid highways within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. § 409." You contend the bridge inspection reports would be privileged from discovery in civil litigation under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. Based on your representations and our review, we conclude the department may withhold the submitted bridge inspection reports under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code.

We now address your argument under section 552.111 of the Government Code for the remaining information not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.111 excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." Gov't Code § 552.111. Section 552.111 encompasses information protected by civil discovery privileges. See Open Records Decision Nos. 647 at 3 (1996), 251 at 2-4 (1980). You claim that the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 because it would be privileged from discovery under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. Furthermore, you indicate the remaining information was created for highway safety purposes. Based on your representations and review, we find the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department may withhold the bridge inspection reports under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. The department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Tamara H. Holland  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

THH/bs

Ref: ID# 425184

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)