



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 11, 2011

Ms. Zeena Angadicheril
Office of General Counsel
The University of Texas System
201 West Seventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2011-11589

Dear Ms. Angadicheril:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 426671 (OGC#137767).

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (the "university") received a request for the criteria for selection, including all scoring, grading, pricing, and percentages and bid tabulation sheets, related to request for proposals numbers 729-11-34, 729-11-35, 729-11-31, and 729-11-42. You state you will release some of the requested information to the requestor. Although you take no position on whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure, you state that release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Phillips/May Construction Co. ("Phillips/May"); The Whiting Turner Contracting Company ("Whiting Turner"); Tegrus Construction Company ("Tegrus"); Tecton Services, L.L.C. ("Tecton"); and Vaughn Construction ("Vaughn"). Accordingly, you inform us, and provide documentation showing, that you notified these third parties of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why their information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d) (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under certain circumstances). We have received comments from Tecton. We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to it should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received arguments from Phillips/May, Whiting Turner, Tegrus, or Vaughn. Thus, Phillips/May, Whiting Turner, Tegrus, and Vaughn have not demonstrated that they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests Phillips/May, Whiting Turner, Tegrus, or Vaughn may have in the information. However, we will consider Tecton's arguments against disclosure of its submitted information.

Tecton raises section 552.110 of the Government Code for its submitted information. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information, the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a), (b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1957); *see also* ORD 552 at 2. Section 757 provides that a trade secret is:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776. In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret, as well as the Restatement's list of six trade

secret factors.¹ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. See ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note that information, including pricing information, pertaining to a particular proposal or contract is generally not a trade secret because it is “simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business,” rather than “a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business.” See RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 3 (1982), 306 at 3 (1982).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; see also ORD 661 at 5-6.

Tecton contends that portions of submitted information consist of trade secrets excepted under section 552.110(a). Having considered Tecton’s arguments, we find that Tecton has failed to demonstrate that any of the information it seeks to withhold meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has Tecton demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for this information. Thus, none of Tecton’s submitted information may be withheld under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Further, we find that Tecton has made only conclusory allegations that the release of its submitted information would result in substantial damage to its competitive position. Thus, Tecton has not demonstrated that substantial competitive injury would result from the release

¹The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company’s] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

of the information it seeks to withhold. See Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Accordingly, no portion of Tectron's information may be withheld under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. As no further exceptions are raised, the university must release the submitted information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Vanessa Burgess
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

VB/dls

Ref: ID# 426671

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Royce Weaver
Tegrus Construction Company
1395 North Highway 67
Cedar Hill Texas 75104
(Third party w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mike Vaughn
Vaughn Construction
3131 McKinney Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75204
(Third party w/o enclosures)

The Whiting Turner Contracting Company
2301 West Plano Parkway, Suite 104
Plano, Texas 75075
(Third party w/o enclosures)

Mr. Charles Tucker
Tecton Services, L.L.C.
13624 Floyd Circle
Dallas, Texas 75243
(Third party w/o enclosures)

The Phillips/May Corporation
4861 Sharp Street
Dallas, Texas 75247
(Third party w/o enclosures)