



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

August 11, 2011

Mr. Joseph J. Gorfida, Jr.  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Richardson  
P.O. Box 831078  
Richardson, Texas 75083-1078

OR2011-11618

Dear Mr. Gorfida:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 427121 (ORR# 11-406).

The City of Richardson (the "city") received a request for a specified incident report. You claim a portion of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.108 and 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We note the submitted incident report involves an alleged violation of section 32.51 of the Penal Code, which provides that "[a] person commits an offense if the person, with the intent to harm or defraud another, obtains, possesses, transfers, or uses an item of ... identifying information of another person without the other person's consent[.]" Penal Code § 32.51(b)(1). For purposes of section 32.51, "identifying information" includes an individual's name and government-issued identification number. *Id.* § 32.51(a)(1)(A), (C). Article 2.29 of the Code of Criminal Procedure pertains to alleged violations of section 32.51 that occurred on or after September 1, 2005 and provides:

(a) A peace officer to whom an alleged violation of Section 32.51, Penal Code, is reported shall make a written report to the law enforcement agency that employs the peace officer that includes the following information:

(1) the name of the victim;

(2) the name of the suspect, if known;

(3) the type of identifying information obtained, possessed, transferred, or used in violation of Section 32.51, Penal Code; and

(4) the results of any investigation.

(b) On the victim's request, the law enforcement agency shall provide the report created under Subsection (a) to the victim. In providing the report, the law enforcement agency shall redact any otherwise confidential information that is included in the report, other than the information described by Subsection (a).

Crim. Proc. Code art. 2.29. For purposes of article 2.29, an offense is committed on or after September 1, 2005 if no "element of the offense occurs before that date." Act of Jun. 17, 2005, 79th Leg., R.S., ch. 294, § 1(b), 2005 Tex. Gen. Laws 885.

In this instance, the submitted report pertains to alleged unauthorized use of the requestor's driver's license number, which constitutes an alleged violation of section 32.51. The requestor is one of the victims of the alleged identity theft listed in the report, and the alleged offense occurred after September 1, 2005. Therefore, the submitted report is subject to article 2.29 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and must be released to the requestor, except to the extent it contains confidential information. *See* Crim. Proc. Code art. 2.29. You claim a portion of the submitted report is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. However, section 552.108 is a discretionary exception that does not make information confidential. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 586 (1991) (governmental body may waive section 552.108). Therefore, no portion of the submitted incident report may be withheld under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We note a portion of the report is subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Because section 552.136 of the Government Code is a confidentiality provision, we will address the applicability of this provision to the submitted information.

Section 552.136 provides "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). We note check numbers do not constitute access device numbers and may not be withheld under section 552.136. Accordingly, the city must withhold the bank account number that does not belong to the requestor, which we have

marked, under section 552.136 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> The county must release the remaining information to this requestor.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Mack T. Harrison  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MTH/em

Ref: ID# 427121

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>1</sup>We note this office issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including a bank account number under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

<sup>2</sup>Because the requestor has a right of access to certain information that otherwise would be excepted from release under the Act, the city must again seek a decision from this office if it receives a request for this information from a different requestor.