



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 13, 2011

Ms. Sheri Bryce Dye  
Assistant District Attorney  
Bexar County District Attorney's Office  
300 Dolorosa, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Antonio, Texas 78205

OR2011-13176

Dear Ms. Dye:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 429717.

The Bexar County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for information pertaining to the shooting death of a named deputy.<sup>1</sup> You state the district attorney has released some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the district attorney's obligations under the Act. Section 552.301 of the Government Code prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask for a decision from this office and state the exceptions that apply within ten business days of receiving the written request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b). Pursuant to section 552.301(e), the governmental body must, within fifteen business days of receiving the request, submit to this office (1) written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the district attorney sought and received clarification of the request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (stating that if information requested is unclear to governmental body or if large amount of information has been requested, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify or narrow request, but may not inquire into purpose for which information will be used).

allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. *Id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A)-(D). You inform us the district attorney received the present request for information on June 9, 2011. Thus, the district attorney's ten-business-day deadline under section 552.301(b) was June 23, 2011, and the district attorney's fifteen-business-day deadline under section 552.301(e) was June 30, 2011. We note you did not request a ruling from this office until July 10, 2011 and did not submit the required documents to this office until July 18, 2011. However, you state that the district attorney sought and received clarification from the requestor on June 24, 2011. Thus, we understand you to claim the deadlines should be reset from the date the district attorney received the clarification. However, we note the district attorney did not request clarification of the request until after the ten-business-day deadline had passed. As such, the statutory deadlines for requesting an opinion from this office and submitting the required documents were not reset and must be measured from the date the district attorney received the request for information on June 9, 2011. *See generally City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380 (Tex. 2010) (after requesting clarification within ten-business-day deadline, city timely submitted request for opinion within ten business days after receiving clarification). Consequently, we find the district attorney failed to comply with the procedural requirements mandated by section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption the requested information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the information from disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.302; Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Generally, a compelling reason to withhold information exists where some other source of law makes the information confidential or where third party interests are at stake. Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protect the governmental body's interests and may be waived by the governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions), 586 (1991) (governmental body may waive section 552.108). However, the need of a governmental body to withhold information under section 552.108 can provide a compelling reason under section 552.302. Open Records Decision No. 586 at 2-3 (1991) (claim of another governmental body under statutory predecessor to section 552.108 can provide compelling reason for non-disclosure). Because you inform us, and provide documentation demonstrating that the San Antonio Police Department (the "department") objects to the release of the submitted information, we will consider the applicability of section 552.108 of the Government Code to the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). The department states the submitted information pertains to a pending criminal investigation. Based on this representation and our review, we determine release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, section 552.108(a)(1) is generally applicable to the submitted information.

We note, however, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle* and includes the names of the investigating officers. *See* 531 S.W. 2d at 186-87; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Thus, with the exception of basic information, the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Sean Nottingham  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SN/agn

Ref: ID# 429717

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)