



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 28, 2011

Ms. Elaine Nicholson
Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767-8828

OR2011-14082

Dear Ms. Nicholson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 431314.

The City of Austin (the "city") received a request for a copy of the case file pertaining to a specified address. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹ We have also received and considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit written comments regarding why information should or should not be released).

You assert the information at issue is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection,

¹This letter ruling assumes that the submitted representative sample of information is truly representative of the requested information as a whole. This ruling does not reach, and therefore does not authorize, the withholding of any other requested information to the extent that the other information is substantially different than that submitted to this office. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(e)(1)(D), .302; Open Records Decision Nos. 499 at 6 (1988), 497 at 4 (1988).

investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” *Id.* § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state criminal charges have been filed regarding the property in question and that release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. Accordingly, we understand you to raise section 552.108(a)(1) for this information.

The requestor submits comments arguing that the city’s Planning and Development Review Department (the “department”) is not a law enforcement agency for the purposes of section 552.108. Section 552.108 applies only to records created by an agency, or a portion of an agency, whose primary function is to investigate crimes and enforce criminal laws. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 493 (1988), 287 (1981). This section is generally not applicable to records created by an agency whose chief function is essentially regulatory in nature. *See* Open Records Decision No. 199 (1978). An agency that does not qualify as a law enforcement agency may, under limited circumstances, claim that section 552.108 protects records in its possession. *See, e.g.,* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision Nos. 493, 272 (1981). If an administrative agency’s investigation reveals possible criminal conduct that the administrative agency intends to report or has already reported to the appropriate law enforcement agency, section 552.108 will apply to information gathered by the administrative agency if its release would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1); Attorney General Opinion MW-575; Open Records Decision Nos. 493, 272.

You explain the information at issue consists of the department’s file for the specified property. You contend the department is a law enforcement agency because the department investigates violations of the city’s ordinances and is authorized to file criminal complaints in the city’s municipal court. The information at issue pertains to an investigation of violations of sections 25-5-1, 25-8-181, 25-8-341, and 25-8-342 of the city’s ordinances. You explain, in this instance, criminal charges have been filed with the municipal court. Based on your representations and our review, we find the department is a law enforcement agency for the purposes of section 552.108, and conclude that release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the information at issue.


We note, however, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure “basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.” Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. *See* 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing the types of information considered to be basic information). Thus, with the exception of the basic front page offense information, the

city may withhold the information at issue based on section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lindsay E. Hale".

Lindsay E. Hale
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LEH/akg

Ref: ID# 431314

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)