



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 5, 2011

Ms. Judith N. Benton
Assistant City Attorney
City of Waco
P.O. Box 2570
Waco, Texas 76702-2570

OR2011-14412

Dear Ms. Benton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 432038 (ORR# LGL-11-1008 & 11-1026).

The Waco Police Department (the "department") received two requests from the same requestor for information pertaining to the custodial death of a named individual. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note some of the submitted information appears to have been obtained pursuant to a grand jury subpoena. The judiciary is expressly excluded from the requirements of the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.003(1)(B). This office has determined that a grand jury, for purposes of the Act, is a part of the judiciary and therefore not subject to the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 411 (1984). Further, records kept by a governmental body that is acting as an agent for a grand jury are considered records in the constructive possession of the grand jury, and therefore are also not subject to the Act. *See* Open Records Decisions Nos. 513 (1988), 411, 398 (1983). *But see* ORD 513 at 4 (defining limits of judiciary exclusion). Thus, to the extent the information at issue is held by the department as an agent of the grand jury, it consists of records of the judiciary not subject to disclosure under the Act, and we do not address its public availability. To the extent the submitted information does not consist of records of the judiciary, we will address your exceptions to disclosure.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides in relevant part as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show section 552.103(a) is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). *See* ORD 551.

To establish litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office "concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture." *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). Concrete evidence to support a claim litigation is reasonably anticipated may include, for example, the governmental body's receipt of a letter containing a specific threat to sue the governmental body from an attorney for a potential opposing party. *See* Open Records Decision No. 555 (1990); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989) (litigation must be "realistically contemplated"). In addition, this office has concluded litigation was reasonably anticipated when the potential opposing party hired an attorney who made a demand for disputed payments and threatened to sue if the payments were not made promptly, or when an individual threatened to sue on several occasions and hired an attorney. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 346 (1982), 288 (1981). On the other hand, this office has determined if an individual publicly threatens to bring suit against a governmental body, but does not actually take objective steps toward filing suit, litigation is not reasonably anticipated. *See* Open Records Decision No. 331 (1982). Further, the fact that a potential opposing party has hired an attorney who makes a request for information does not establish litigation is reasonably anticipated. *See* Open Records Decision No. 361 (1983).

You state prior to the date the department received the instant request for information, the requestor had meetings with the department in which the requestor's family was short and their tone was hostile. You state the meetings left the department "with the impression that the family will file suit" against the department. You further state, and provide documentation showing, prior to the date the request was received, the City of Waco (the "city") received notice of representation from an attorney for the requestor's family. We note this correspondence does not contain any explicit threat to sue and does not name the city as a potential party. You also provide documentation showing after the department received the instant request, the requestor notified the city of his intent to file a lawsuit against the city. Thus, you have not provided this office with evidence the requestor had taken any objective steps toward filing a lawsuit prior to the date the department received the request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e); Open Records Decision No. 331 (1982). Upon review, therefore, we find you have not established litigation was reasonably anticipated on the date the department received the request for information. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as chapter 772 of the Health and Safety Code, which authorizes the development of local emergency communication districts. Section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code applies to an emergency communication district for a county with a population of more than 20,000 and makes confidential the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers that are furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 (1996). You indicate the city is part of an emergency communication district that is subject to section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code. You have marked a telephone number that the department seeks to withhold. We conclude the department must withhold the marked telephone number under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code if it consists of the originating telephone number furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier. If the marked information does not consist of the originating telephone number provided by a 9-1-1 service supplier, it may not be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 772.318.

We note the remaining information contains medical records. Section 552.101 also encompasses information made confidential by the Medical Practice Act ("MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, which governs release of medical records. *See* Occ. Code §§ 151.001-165.160. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides, in relevant part:

- (a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Id. § 159.002(a)-(c). This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.002, .004; ORD 598. We have further found when a file is created as a result of a hospital stay, all the documents in the file referring to diagnosis and treatment constitute physician-patient communications or “[r]ecords of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician.” Open Records Decision No. 546 (1990).

Upon review, we find a portion of the submitted information, which we have marked, constitutes records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that were created or are maintained by a physician and information obtained from a patient's medical records. Medical records must be released on receipt of signed, written consent, provided the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *See id.* §§ 159.004, .005. The medical records of a deceased patient may only be released on the signed written consent of the decedent's personal representative. *See id.* § 159.005(a)(5). Any subsequent release of medical records must be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See id.* § 159.002(c); Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7(1990). Thus, the medical records we have marked must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA, unless the department receives written consent for release of those records that complies with sections 159.004 and 159.005 of the MPA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 773.091 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides in relevant part as follows:

(b) Records of the identity, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by emergency medical services personnel or by a physician providing medical supervision that are created by the emergency medical services personnel or physician or maintained by an emergency medical services provider are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

...

(g) The privilege of confidentiality under this section does not extend to information regarding the presence, nature of injury or illness, age, sex, occupation, and city of residence of a patient who is receiving emergency medical services.

Health & Safety Code § 773.091(b), (g). Thus, except for the information specified in section 773.091(g), emergency medical services (“EMS”) records are deemed confidential under section 773.091 and may only be released in accordance with chapter 773 of the Health and Safety Code. *See id.* §§ 773.091-.094. We note records that are confidential under section 773.091 may be disclosed to “any person who bears a written consent of the patient or other persons authorized to act on the patient’s behalf for the release of confidential information.” *Id.* §§ 773.092(e)(4), .093. Among the individuals authorized to act on the patient’s behalf in providing written consent is a “personal representative” if the patient is deceased. *Id.* § 773.093. Section 773.093 provides that a consent for release of EMS records must specify: (1) the information or records to be covered by the release; (2) the reasons or purpose for the release; and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. Thus, except as specified by section 773.091(g), the department must withhold the submitted EMS records, which we have marked, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 773.091 of the Health and Safety Code, unless the department receives proper consent under section 773.093(a). *See id.* §§ 773.092, .093.

We note some of the remaining information is subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.130 provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator’s license, driver’s license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. Act of May 24, 2011, 82nd Leg., R.S., S.B. 1638, § 4 (to be codified as an amendment to Gov’t Code § 552.130). The department must withhold the motor vehicle record information relating to living individuals, which we have marked in the submitted documents, under section 552.130. The department must also redact license plate numbers from the submitted videos under section 552.130. We note the purpose of section 552.130 is to protect the privacy interests of individuals. Because the right of privacy lapses at death, motor vehicle record information that pertains solely to deceased individuals may not be withheld under section 552.130. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters. Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489 (Tex. Civ. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref’d n.r.e.); *see also* Attorney General Opinions JM-229 (1984); H-917 (1976); Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981). We have also marked motor vehicle record information of a vehicle belonging to the named deceased individual. If a living person, other than the requestor, owns an interest in the deceased individual’s vehicle, the department must withhold the marked motor vehicle record information pertaining to that vehicle under

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

section 552.130 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023(a) (governmental body may not deny access to person to whom information relates or person's agent on ground that information is considered confidential by privacy principles); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when individuals request information concerning themselves). If no living person, other than the requestor, owns an interest in the vehicle at issue, the department may not withhold the marked motor vehicle record information pertaining to that vehicle under section 552.130.

The submitted documents also include information that is subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Section 552.136 provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see also id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). We note the purpose of section 552.136 is to protect the privacy interests of individuals. Because the right of privacy lapses at death, information that pertains solely to deceased individuals may not be withheld under section 552.136. *See Moore*, 589 S.W.2d at 491; *see also* Attorney General Opinions JM-229 (1984); H-917 (1976); ORD 272 at 1. We have marked bank account and routing numbers belonging to the named deceased individual. If a living person, other than the requestor, owns an interest in the bank account to which the marked bank account and routing numbers pertain, the department must withhold the marked bank account and routing numbers pertaining to this account under section 552.136 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023(a); ORD 481 at 4. If no living person, other than the requestors owns an interest in the bank account at issue, the department may not withhold the marked bank account and routing numbers under section 552.136.

In summary, the department must withhold the marked telephone number under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code if it consists of the originating telephone number furnished by a 9-1-1 service supplier. The marked medical records must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA, unless the department receives written consent for release of those records that complies with sections 159.004 and 159.005 of the MPA. Except as specified by section 773.091(g), the department must withhold the submitted EMS records, which we have marked, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 773.091 of the Health and Safety Code, unless the department receives proper consent under section 773.093(a). The department must withhold motor vehicle record information pertaining to living individuals, which we have marked in the submitted documents, under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The department must also redact license plate numbers from the submitted videos under section 552.130 of the Government Code. If a living person, other than the requestor, owns an interest in the deceased individual's vehicle, the department must withhold the marked motor vehicle information pertaining to that vehicle under section 552.130 of the Government Code. If a living person, other than the requestor, owns an interest in the bank account belonging to the deceased individual, the department must withhold the marked bank account and routing

numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/agn

Ref: ID# 432038

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)