



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 6, 2011

Ms. Jerris Penrod Mapes
Assistant City Attorney
City of Killeen
3304 Community Boulevard
Killeen, Texas 76542

OR2011-14528

Dear Ms. Mapes:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 432239.

The Killeen Police Department (the "department") received a request for a named officer's entire personnel file. You state you have released some of the requested information. You claim portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 143.089 of the Local Government Code. You inform us that the City of Killeen is a civil service city under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. Section 143.089 contemplates two different types of personnel files: a police officer's civil service file that the civil service director is required to maintain, and an internal file that the police department may maintain for its own use. Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a), (g). In cases in which a police department investigates a police officer's misconduct and takes disciplinary action against a police officer, it is required by section 143.089(a)(2) to place all investigatory records relating to the investigation and disciplinary action, including background documents such as complaints, witness statements, and documents of like nature

from individuals who were not in a supervisory capacity, in the police officer's civil service file maintained under section 143.089(a). *Abbott v. City of Corpus Christi*, 109 S.W.3d 113, 122 (Tex. App.—Austin 2003, no pet.). All investigatory materials in a case resulting in disciplinary action are “from the employing department” when they are held by or in possession of the department because of its investigation into a police officer's misconduct, and the department must forward them to the civil service commission for placement in the civil service personnel file. *Id.* Chapter 143 prescribes the following types of disciplinary actions: removal, suspension, demotion, and uncompensated duty. *See* Local Gov't Code §§ 143.051-.055. Such records are subject to release under chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 143.089(f); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 6 (1990). However, a document relating to a police officer's alleged misconduct may not be placed in his civil service personnel file if there is insufficient evidence to sustain the charge of misconduct. Local Gov't Code § 143.089(b). Information that reasonably relates to a police officer's employment relationship with the police department and that is maintained in a police department's internal file pursuant to section 143.089(g) is confidential and must not be released. *City of San Antonio v. San Antonio Express-News*, 47 S.W.3d 556 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2000, pet. denied); *City of San Antonio v. Tex. Attorney Gen.*, 851 S.W.2d 946, 949 (Tex. App.—Austin 1993, writ denied).

You state Attachment C is maintained in the internal files of the department as authorized under section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. This portion of the submitted information pertains to an investigation conducted by the department that did not result in disciplinary action against the specified police officer. We therefore conclude Attachment C is confidential pursuant to section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code and must be withheld under section 552.101.

We next turn to your arguments against the disclosure of portions of Attachment D, which you state is maintained in the officer's civil service file under section 143.089(a). Section 552.101 also encompasses common-law privacy, which protects information that is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and of no legitimate public interest. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). Common-law privacy encompasses certain types of personal financial information. This office has determined financial information relating only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first element of the common-law privacy test, but the public has a legitimate interest in the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 545 at 4 (1990) (attorney general has found kinds of financial information not excepted from public disclosure by common-law privacy to generally be those regarding receipt of governmental funds or debts owed to governmental entities), 523 at 4 (1989) (noting distinction under common-law privacy between confidential background financial information furnished to public body about individual and basic facts regarding particular financial transaction between individual and public body), 373 at 4 (1983) (determination of whether public's interest in obtaining personal financial information is sufficient to justify

its disclosure must be made on case-by-case basis). We conclude the department must withhold the personal financial information we have marked in Attachment D under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

We note some of the information contained in Attachment D is excepted from disclosure under section 552.102 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov’t Code § 552.102(a). The Texas Supreme Court recently held section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, No. 08-0172, 2010 WL 4910163 (Tex. Dec. 3, 2010). We have marked the information the department must withhold under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure the current and former home addresses and telephone numbers, emergency contact information, social security numbers, and family member information of a peace officer, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with section 552.024 or section 552.1175 of the Government Code. Act of May 24, 2011, 82nd Leg., R.S., S.B. 1638, § 2 (to be codified as an amendment to Gov’t Code § 552.117(a)); *see* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). Section 552.117(a)(2) applies to peace officers as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information relating to a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license or permit or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or country. *See* Act of May 24, 2011, 82nd Leg., R.S., S.B. 1638, § 4 (to be codified as an amendment to Gov’t Code § 552.130). We have marked the driver’s license number and class designation that must be withheld under section 552.130 of the Government Code.²

In summary, the department must withhold Attachment C under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code. The department must withhold the personal financial information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy, the marked dates of birth under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code, the personal information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code, and the

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).


²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument for this information.

motor vehicle information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jonathan Miles". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "J" and "M".

Jonathan Miles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JM/em

Ref: ID# 432239

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)