



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 1, 2011

Ms. Sonya M. Garcia
Escamilla, Poneck & Cruz, L.L.P.
216 West Village Boulevard, Suite 202
Laredo, Texas 78041

OR2011-17707

Dear Ms. Garcia:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 437744.

The United Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request from an investigator with the Texas Education Agency (the "TEA") for specified information pertaining to a named district employee. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes. You claim some of the submitted information is made confidential by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 1320d-1320d-8, for portions of the submitted information. At the direction of Congress, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("HHS") promulgated regulations setting privacy standards for medical records, which HHS issued as the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information. *See* Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-2 (Supp. IV 1998) (historical & statutory note); Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, 45 C.F.R. pts. 160, 164 ("Privacy Rule"); *see also* Attorney General Opinion JC-0508 at 2 (2002). These standards govern the releasability of protected health information by a covered entity. *See* 45 C.F.R. pts. 160, 164. Under these standards, a covered entity may not use or disclose protected health information, except

as provided by parts 160 and 164 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a).

This office addressed the interplay of the Privacy Rule and the Act in Open Records Decision No. 681 (2004). In that decision, we noted section 164.512 of title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations provides that a covered entity may use or disclose protected health information to the extent such use or disclosure is required by law and the use or disclosure complies with, and is limited to, the relevant requirements of such law. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(a)(1). We further noted the Act “is a mandate in Texas law that compels Texas governmental bodies to disclose information to the public.” *See* ORD 681 at 8; *see also* Gov’t Code §§ 552.002, .003, .021. We, therefore, held that the disclosures under the Act come within section 164.512(a). Consequently, the Privacy Rule does not make information confidential for the purpose of section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See Abbott v. Tex. Dep’t of Mental Health & Mental Retardation*, 212 S.W.3d 648 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.); ORD 681 at 9; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 478 (1987) (as general rule, statutory confidentiality requires express language making information confidential). Because the Privacy Rule does not make information that is subject to disclosure under the Act confidential, the district may not withhold any portion of the submitted information on this basis.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information [that] relates to a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license or permit issued by an agency of this state or another state or country[.]” Act of May 24, 2011, 82nd Leg., R.S., S.B. 1638, § 4 (to be codified as an amendment to Gov’t Code § 552.130(a)(1)). Upon review, we find that the district must withhold the information you have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

We note the TEA’s request states it is seeking this information under the authority provided to the State Board for Educator Certification (“SBEC”) by section 249.14 of title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code.¹ Accordingly, we will consider whether section 249.14 permits TEA to obtain information that is otherwise protected by your claimed exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision No. 451 at 4 (1986) (specific access provision prevails over generally applicable exception to public disclosure).

Chapter 249 of title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code governs disciplinary proceedings, sanctions, and contested cases involving SBEC. *See* 19 T.A.C. § 249.4. Section 249.14 provides in relevant part:

¹Chapter 21 of the Education Code authorizes SBEC to regulate and oversee all aspects of the certification, continuing education, and standards of conduct of public school educators. *See* Educ. Code § 21.031(a). Section 21.041 of the Education Code states that SBEC may “provide for disciplinary proceedings, including the suspension or revocation of an educator certificate, as provided by Chapter 2001, Government Code.” *Id.* § 21.041(b)(7). Section 21.041 also authorizes SBEC to “adopt rules as necessary for its own procedures.” *Id.* § 21.041(a).

(a) The [TEA] staff may obtain and investigate information concerning alleged improper conduct by an educator, applicant, examinee, or other person subject to this chapter that would warrant [SBEC] denying relief to or taking disciplinary action against the person or certificate.

...

(c) The TEA staff may also obtain and act on other information providing grounds for investigation and possible action under this chapter.

19 T.A.C. § 249.14(a), (c). In this instance, the TEA requestor states he is investigating allegations made against the named district employee and that he needs to review the requested records to determine whether measures need to be taken against this person's teaching credentials. Thus, we find that the submitted information is subject to the general right of access afforded to the TEA under section 249.14. However, because some of the requested information is specifically protected from public disclosure by section 552.130 of the Government Code, we find there is a conflict between this section and the right of access afforded to TEA investigators under section 249.14.

We note where general and specific provisions are in irreconcilable conflict, the specific provision typically prevails as an exception to the general provision unless the general provision was enacted later and there is clear evidence that the legislature intended the general provision to prevail. *See* Gov't Code § 311.026(b); *City of Lake Dallas v. Lake Cities Mun. Util. Auth.*, 555 S.W.2d 163, 168 (Tex. Civ. App.—Fort Worth 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.). Although section 249.14 generally allows the TEA access to information relating to suspected misconduct on the part of an educator, section 552.130 of the Government Code specifically protects Texas motor vehicle record information and has its own release provisions. Thus, section 552.130 prevails over the TEA's general right of access. We therefore conclude that, notwithstanding the provisions of section 249.14 of the Texas Administrative Code, the district must withhold the information that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Although you assert some of the remaining information is excepted under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy, a statutory right of access generally prevails over the common-law.² *See CenterPoint Energy Houston Elec. LLC v. Harris County Toll Rd.*, 436 F.3d 541, 544 (5th Cir. 2006) (common law controls only where there is no conflicting or controlling statutory law). Accordingly, none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. Therefore, the TEA has a right of access to the remaining information pursuant to

²Common-law privacy protects information if: (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976).

section 249.14. *See* Open Records Decision No. 525 (1989) (exceptions to disclosure do not apply to information made public by other statutes).

In summary, the district must withhold the information you have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The district must release the remaining information to the TEA requestor pursuant to section 249.14 of title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code.³

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Sarah Casterline
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SEC/ag

Ref: ID# 437744

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

³Because the TEA has a right of access to certain information in these documents that otherwise would be excepted from release under the Act, the district must again seek a decision from this office if it receives a request for this information from a different requestor without such a right of access.