



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 19, 2011

Mr. Clark T. Askins
For City of La Porte
Askins & Askins, P.C.
P.O. Box 1218
La Porte, Texas 77572-1218

OR2011-18612

Dear Mr. Askins:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 440212.

The City of La Porte (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for all police reports involving five named individuals at a specified address from August 2007 to January 10, 2010. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as section 261.201 of the Family Code which provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). The submitted information pertains to a child custody dispute. Upon review, you have failed to demonstrate the information at issue was used or developed in an investigation under chapter 261. Furthermore, you have not established the information is a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under section 261.201(a)(1). *See id.* § 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code). Thus, you have failed to demonstrate the submitted information is confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code, and the city may not withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code on such basis. Accordingly, we will consider your remaining arguments for the submitted information.

You also raise section 552.108 of the Government Code for the submitted information. Section 552.108 provides in pertinent part:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime; [or]

(2) it is information that the deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication;

...

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution; [or]

(2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

Id. § 552.108(a)(1)-(2), (b)(1)-(2). Generally speaking, subsections 552.108(a)(1) and 552.108(b)(1) are mutually exclusive of subsections 552.108(a)(2) and 552.108(b)(2). Subsection 552.108(a)(1) protects information, the release of which would interfere with a particular pending criminal investigation or prosecution, while subsection 552.108(b)(1) encompasses internal law enforcement and prosecution records, the release of which would interfere with law enforcement and prosecution efforts in general. In contrast, subsections 552.108(a)(2) and 552.108(b)(2) protect information that relates to a concluded criminal investigation or prosecution that did not result in a conviction or deferred adjudication. A governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the exception it claims is applicable to the information the governmental body seeks to withhold. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why claimed exceptions to disclosure apply).

In this instance, you raise subsections 552.108(a)(1) and 552.108(b)(1). In support, however, you state the submitted information pertains to a case “that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication.” Because you do not argue that the submitted information pertains to a pending case, we find you failed to sufficiently demonstrate the applicability of section 552.108(a)(1) to the submitted information. We also find you have failed to demonstrate how release of this information would interfere with law enforcement for purposes of section 552.108(b). *See id.* Consequently, the city may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.108.

We note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.130 excepts from disclosure “information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license or permit issued by an agency of this state or another state or country [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or country.” *See Gov’t Code* § 552.130(a). Therefore, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception such as section 552.130 on behalf of a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Sean Opperman".

Sean Opperman
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SO/dls

Ref: ID# 440212

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)