



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 12, 2012

Ms. Melissa Sabatino
Administrative Supervisor for Public Information
Austin Independent School District
1111 West Sixth Street
Austin, Texas 78703

OR2012-00641

Dear Ms. Sabatino:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 442142.

The Austin Independent School District (the "district") received a request for the following information pertaining to request for proposals number P11-049: (1) the proposal submitted by Social Solutions ("Social"); (2) the evaluation justification forms submitted for two named companies; and (3) the total average evaluation scores for all the bidders. You state you have released some information to the requestor. Although you take no position with respect to the public availability of the submitted information, you state its release may implicate the proprietary interests of Social. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, the district notified Social of the company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain the applicability of exception to disclose under Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Social. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Social raises section 552.102 of the Government Code for portions of its information. Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy[.]" Gov't Code § 552.102(a). Section 552.102(a) protects information relating to public officials and employees. *See* Open Records Decision No. 645 (1982). In this instance, the information at issue is related to a private entity, Social. Therefore, the district may not withhold any portion of Social's information under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.

Social raises section 552.104 of the Government Code as an exception to disclosure for its information. This section excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give

advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104. However, section 552.104 is a discretionary exception that protects only the interests of a governmental body, as distinguished from exceptions which are intended to protect the interests of third parties. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 592 (1991) (statutory predecessor to section 552.104 designed to protect interests of a governmental body in a competitive situation, and not interests of private parties submitting information to the government), 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). As the district does not seek to withhold any information pursuant to section 552.104, no portion of Social’s information may be withheld on this basis.

Social also raises section 552.110 of the Government Code for its information. Section 552.110 protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: trade secrets and commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause a third party substantial competitive harm. Section 552.110(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1958); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776. In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement’s definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement’s list of six trade secret factors.¹ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). This office must accept a

¹The following are the six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret: (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company]; (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company’s] business; (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information; (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

private person's claim for exception as valid under section 552.110 if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for exception and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. ORD 552 at 5-6. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) applies unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *See* Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note that pricing information pertaining to a particular proposal or contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." *See* RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; ORDs 319 at 3, 306 at 3.

Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." Gov't Code § 552.110(b). Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the requested information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm).

Upon review, we find Social has established that a portion of its client information constitutes trade secret information for purposes of section 552.110(a). Accordingly, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(a). However, we note Social has made some of its client information publicly available on its website. Because Social has published this information, it has failed to demonstrate how this information constitutes trade secret information for purposes of section 552.110(a). Further, we find Social has failed to demonstrate how any of its remaining information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has Social demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for the information at issue. *See* ORDs 402 (section 552.110(a) does not apply unless information meets definition of trade secret and necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish trade secret claim), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, market studies, professional references, and qualifications and experience are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Therefore, the district may not withhold any of Social's remaining information under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Upon review of Social's arguments, we find that Social has failed to provide specific factual evidence demonstrating that release of any of its remaining information would result in substantial competitive harm to Social. *See* ORDs 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 509 at 5, 319 at 3. Furthermore, we note that the pricing information of a winning bidder, such as Social, is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b). This office considers the prices charged in government contract awards to be a matter of strong public interest. *See* Open Records Decision No. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors). *See generally* Dep't of

Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act 344-345 (2009) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). Accordingly, we determine that no portion of Social's remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

In summary, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Nneka Kanu
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

NK/em

Ref: ID# 442142

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Ginny Foran
Social Solutions
425 Williams Court, Suite 100
Baltimore, Maryland 21220
(w/o enclosures)