



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

January 31, 2012

Ms. Cynthia Villarreal-Reyna  
Section Chief, Agency Counsel  
Legal and Regulatory Affairs Division  
Texas Department of Insurance  
MC 110-1A  
P.O. Box 149104  
Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2012-01569

Dear Ms. Villarreal-Reyna:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 443941 (TDI# 121786).

The Texas Department of Insurance (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to life settlement and structured settlement filings.<sup>1</sup> You state some of the requested information will be made available to the requestor. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of several third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Advanced Settlements, Inc.; Berkshire Settlements; Coventry Financial; Crump Life Insurance Services; Excelsior Financial; FairMarket Live Settlements ("FairMarket"); Life Partners; Life Policy Traders; and Life Settlement Solutions of the request for information and of their rights to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the department received clarification of the information requested. *See Gov't Code* § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request).

received comments from FairMarket. We have reviewed the submitted information and considered the submitted arguments.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the remaining third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the remaining third parties have protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest any of the remaining third parties may have in the information.

FairMarket argues its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the

Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.<sup>2</sup> RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

FairMarket asserts its information constitutes trade secrets under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Upon review, we conclude FairMarket has failed to establish a *prima facie* case that any portion of its information meets the definition of a trade secret. We further find FairMarket has not demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for its information. *See* ORD 402. Therefore, none of FairMarket’s information may be withheld under section 552.110(a).

FairMarket further argues portions of its information consist of commercial information the release of which would cause substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b) of the

---

<sup>2</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company’s] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

Government Code. Upon review, we find FairMarket has made only conclusory allegations that the release of any of its information would result in substantial harm to its competitive position. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative). Accordingly, none of FairMarket's information may be withheld under section 552.110(b).

We note the remaining information contains e-mail addresses that are subject to section 552.137 of the Government Code.<sup>3</sup> Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail addresses at issue are not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the department must withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners have affirmatively consented to their public disclosure.<sup>4</sup>

We note some of the materials at issue may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the department must withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners have affirmatively consented to their public disclosure. The remaining information must be released; however, any information that is protected by copyright may be released only in accordance with copyright law.

---

<sup>3</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>4</sup>We note Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009) is a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including an e-mail address of a member of the public under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CVMS/agn

Ref: ID# 443941

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Lori Lieser  
President  
Advanced Settlements, Inc.  
2101 Park Center Drive, Suite 220  
Orlando, Florida 32835  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Sarah Loy  
President  
Berkshire Settlements  
112 Krog Street, Suite 24  
Atlanta, Georgia 30307  
(w/o enclosures)

CT Corporation System  
For Advanced Settlements, Inc.  
350 North St. Paul Street  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Constance Buerger  
President  
Coventry Financial  
7111 Valley Green Road  
Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034  
(w/o enclosures)

CT Corporation System  
For Coventry Financial  
350 North St. Paul Street  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Trina Cox  
Crump Life Insurance Services  
P.O. Box 2453  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105  
(w/o enclosures)

CT Corporation System  
For Crump Life Insurance Services  
350 North St. Paul Street  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Suzanne Hill  
President  
Excelsior Financial  
900 North 2nd Avenue  
Rome, Georgia 30165  
(w/o enclosures)

Incorp. Services, Inc.  
For Excelsior Financial  
815 Brazos Street, Suite 500  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kenneth Greenberg  
435 Ford Road, Suite 120  
Saint Louis Park, Minnesota 55426  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. R. Scott Peden  
For Life Partners  
204 Woodhew Drive  
Waco, Texas 76712-6529  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kurt D. Carr  
Vice President  
Life Partners  
204 Woodhew Drive  
Waco, Texas 76712-6529  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Edward Johnson  
Life Policy Traders  
P.O. Box 810  
Newton, New Jersey 07860  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karen Canoff  
Life Settlement Solutions  
9201 Spectrum Center Boulevard  
Suite 105  
San Diego, California 91213  
(w/o enclosures)