



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

February 14, 2012

Ms. Talibah Young  
Assistant General Counsel  
University of Houston System  
E. Cullen Building, Suite 311  
Houston, Texas 77204-2162

OR2012-02328

Dear Ms. Young:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 445455.

The University of Houston (the "university") received a request for: (1) a list of all the university's contracts and other agreements with financial institutions, including certain categories of information; and (2) the contract, request for proposals, and bid documents submitted by the successful bidder for services provided by financial institutions. You claim portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.136 of the Government Code. You also state the proprietary interests of several third parties might be implicated. Accordingly, you notified Morgan Stanley Investment Management ("Morgan Stanley"); First Southwest Co. ("First Southwest"); Wells Fargo Bank ("Wells Fargo"); Woodforest National Bank ("Woodforest"); Mellon Bank ("Mellon"); Mellon Trust of New England ("Mellon Trust"); State Street Global Advisors ("State Street"); ATM Houston ("ATM"); Banc of America Securities, L.L.C. ("BOAS"); Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ("Deutsche"); Bank of America ("BOA"); and JP Morgan Chase Bank ("Chase") of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office explaining why their information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory

predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have received arguments submitted by BOA. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received arguments from Morgan Stanley, First Southwest, Wells Fargo, Woodforest, Mellon, Mellon Trust, State Street, ATM, BOAS, Deutsche, or Chase. Thus, none of these third parties has demonstrated that it has a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)–(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5–6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests these third parties may have in the information.

BOA raises section 552.110 of the Government Code, which protects (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)–(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.<sup>1</sup> This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; ORD 319 at 3, 306 at 3.

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5. We note the terms of a contract with a governmental body are generally not excepted from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3) (contract involving receipt or expenditure of public funds expressly made public); Open Records Decision No. 541 at 8 (1990) (public has interest in knowing terms of contract with state agency). We also note the pricing information of a winning bidder is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. This office considers the prices charged in government contract awards to be a matter of strong public interest. *See* Open Records Decision No. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors); *see generally* Dep't of

---

<sup>1</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act 344–45 (2009) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government).

Upon review, we find BOA has not demonstrated how any of the submitted information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has it demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim. *See* RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b, ORD 402 (section 552.110(a) does not apply unless information meets definition of trade secret and necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish trade secret claim). Accordingly, the university may not withhold any of BOA’s information under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Upon further review, we find BOA has not demonstrated how any of the submitted information constitutes commercial or financial information, the disclosure of which would cause it substantial competitive harm. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 514, 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110), 175 at 4 (1977) (resumes cannot be said to fall within any exception to the Act). Accordingly, the university may not withhold any of BOA’s information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). This office has concluded insurance policy numbers constitute access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Accordingly, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. None of the remaining information marked by the university consists of access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136, and it may not be withheld on that basis.


In summary, the university must withhold the information we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Neal Falgoust  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

NF/agn

Ref: ID# 445455

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Morgan Stanley  
Investment Management  
Attn: Fund Client Services  
P.O. Box 219804  
Kansas City, Missouri 64121-9804  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Glenn Yeaker  
Morgan Stanley  
Investment Management  
Morgan Stanley Operations  
522 Fifth Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Drew K. Masterson  
First Southwest Company  
1021 Main Street, Suite 2200  
Houston Texas 77002  
(w/o enclosures)

Corporate Trust  
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.  
MAC T5001-061  
1000 Louisiana, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Dan Hauser  
Woodforest National Bank  
1330 Lake Robbins Drive  
Suite 100  
The Woodlands, Texas 77380  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Elizabeth A. Tonko  
Mellon Bank, N.A.  
One Mellon Center  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15258  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert M. Carroll  
Mellon Trust of New England  
One Boston Place  
201 Washington Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02108  
(w/o enclosures)

Compliance Officer  
State Street Global Advisors  
One Lincoln Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02111-2900  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chris Tipton  
ATM Houston  
26111 I-45 North, #108  
Spring, Texas 77380  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jim Buie  
Bank of America Securities, L.L.C.  
901 Main Street, Suite 6350  
Dallas, Texas 75202-3714  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Michelle Russo  
Deutsche Bank Trust  
Company Americas  
60 Wall Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Mail Stop NYCO60-2715  
New York, New York 10005  
(w/o enclosures)

Contract Administration  
Bank of America NA  
MC NV1-108-01-12  
P.O. Box 98574  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8574  
(w/o enclosures)

JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.  
Lease Administration - ATM  
1111 Polaris Parkway, Suite 1J  
MC OH1-0241  
Columbus, Ohio 43240  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Nick London  
Bank of America  
700 Louisiana Street  
Houston, Texas 77002-2700  
(w/o enclosures)

Bank of America  
Collateral Management  
NC1-007-07-19  
100 North Tyron Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28255-0001  
(w/o enclosures)