



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

February 17, 2012

Ms. Tiffany N. Evans  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Houston  
P.O. Box 368  
Houston, Texas 77001-0368

OR2012-02585

Dear Ms. Evans:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 445796 (GC No. 19163).

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for all employment files, civil service files, and records relating to sustained disciplinary actions and events regarding a named individual, excluding social security and driver's license numbers and home addresses. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that some of the submitted information consists of completed evaluations that are subject to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(1) provides:

Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). You seek to withhold the completed evaluations under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is discretionary in nature and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See id.* § 552.007; *Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, S.W.3d 69, 475-6 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Thus, the city may not withhold the completed evaluations under section 552.103. As you raise no additional exceptions to disclosure of the completed evaluations, which we have marked, the city must release them pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1). However, we will address your arguments against disclosure of the remaining information, which is not subject to section 552.022.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

You state, and provide documentation showing, the city received the request for information after a lawsuit styled *Kelly D. Allen v. City of Houston*, Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-04095, was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division.

Thus, we find litigation involving the city was pending when it received the request. You state the remaining information is related to the subject matter of this pending litigation. Based on your representations and our review, we agree this information is related to the pending litigation for purposes of section 552.103. Accordingly, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup>

However, we note the purpose of section 552.103 is to enable a governmental body to protect its position in litigation by forcing parties to obtain information relating to litigation through discovery procedures. *See* ORD 551 at 4-5. Therefore, once the information at issue has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, a section 552.103(a) interest no longer exists as to that information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). We also note the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

In summary, the completed evaluations we marked must be released pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. The remaining information may be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kenneth Leland Conyer  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KLC/agn

---

<sup>1</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure.

Ref: ID# 445796

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)