ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

March 8, 2012

Ms. Heather R. Rutland
Henslee Schwartz, L.L.P.
816 Congress Avenue, Suite 800
Austin, Texas 78701-2443

OR2012-03492

Dear Ms. Rutland:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 452166.

The Lockhart Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for five categories of information, including the last two evaluations of the district superintendent. You state the district has produced or is producing some of the responsive information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information other statutes make confidential. You claim section 552.101 in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code, which provides in part that "[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential." *See* Educ. Code § 21.355(a). This office has interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or an administrator. *See* Open Records Decision No. 643 at 3 (1996). We have determined the word "administrator" for purposes of section 21.355 means a person who (1) is required to, and does in fact, hold an administrator's certificate under subchapter B of chapter 21 of the Education Code, and (2) is performing the functions of an administrator, as that term is commonly defined, at the time of the evaluation. *Id.* The Third Court of

Appeals has concluded a written reprimand constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355, because "it reflects the principal's judgment regarding [a teacher's] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review." *See Abbott v. North East Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.).

You contend the submitted information constitutes confidential evaluations of an administrator. You provide documentation showing the administrator was certified by the State Board for Educator Certification. You explain the administrator was acting as an administrator at the time of the evaluations. Based on your representations and our review, we find the district must withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/dls

Ref: ID# 452166

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)