



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

March 19, 2012

Mr. Benjamin Sampract  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Fort Worth  
1000 Throckmorton Street, 3rd Floor  
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

OR2012-04020

Dear Mr. Sampract:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 449748 (City PIR No. W014119).

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received a request for the city's geographic information systems ("GIS") shapefiles.<sup>1</sup> You claim the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. As part of the Texas Homeland Security Act ("HSA"), sections 418.176 through 418.182 were added

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the city asked for and received clarification regarding this request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (governmental body may communicate with requestor for purpose of clarifying or narrowing request for information).

<sup>2</sup>We assume the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

to chapter 418 of the Government Code. These provisions make certain information related to terrorism confidential. You assert the submitted data is confidential under section 418.181 of the Government Code, which provides “[t]hose documents or portions of documents in the possession of a governmental entity are confidential if they identify the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of critical infrastructure to an act of terrorism.” *Id.* § 418.181. The fact that information may relate to a governmental body’s security concerns does not make the information *per se* confidential under the HSA. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation by a governmental body of a statute’s key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of the claimed provision. As with any exception to disclosure, a governmental body asserting one of the confidentiality provisions of the HSA must adequately explain how the responsive records fall within the scope of the claimed provision. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

You explain the submitted data contains technical details of the city’s water supply and sanitary sewer systems. You assert, and we agree, the city’s water and sanitary sewer systems are critical infrastructure. *See generally id.* § 421.001 (defining “critical infrastructure” to include “all public or private assets, systems, and functions vital to the security, governance, public health and safety, economy, or morale of the state or the nation”). You explain the release of the submitted data could provide a potential terrorist with details regarding the locations and dimensions of the pipes that are part of the city’s water and sanitary sewer lines which the terrorist could use to determine which pipes to rupture in order to cause the greatest amount of damage. You explain the rupturing of pipes could cause widespread flooding, damage to buildings, disruption of commerce, obstruction of emergency vehicles, and disruption of ingress to and egress from Alliance Airport. Based on your arguments and our review of the submitted information, we find you have demonstrated release of the submitted data would identify the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of the city’s water supply and sanitary sewer systems to an act of terrorism. Thus, the submitted data must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sean Opperman". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish at the end of the name.

Sean Opperman  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SO/som

Ref: ID# 449748

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)