



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 9, 2012

Ms. Cheryl K. Byles
Assistant City Attorney
City of Fort Worth
1000 Throckmorton Street, Third Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

OR2012-05047

Dear Ms. Byles:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the “Act”), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 450362 (Fort Worth PIR No. W014351).

The City of Fort Worth (the “city”) received a request for a specified police report. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state the submitted information pertains to an open and pending criminal investigation. Based on your representation, we conclude section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable in this instance. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976).

Section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Such basic information refers to the

information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. See 531 S.W.2d at 186-87; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Thus, with the exception of the basic information, the city may generally withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1).

You assert the remaining information is protected by common-law privacy. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). Common-law privacy encompasses the specific types of information held to be intimate or embarrassing in *Industrial Foundation*, which included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 683.

In *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied), the court addressed the applicability of the common-law privacy doctrine to files of an investigation of allegations of sexual harassment. The investigation files in *Ellen* contained individual witness statements, an affidavit by the individual accused of the misconduct responding to the allegations, and conclusions of the board of inquiry that conducted the investigation. *Id.* at 525. The court ordered the release of the affidavit of the person under investigation and the conclusions of the board of inquiry, stating the public’s interest was sufficiently served by the disclosure of such documents. *Id.* In concluding, the *Ellen* court held “the public did not possess a legitimate interest in the identities of the individual witnesses, nor the details of their personal statements beyond what is contained in the documents that have been ordered released.” *Id.* Thus, if there is an adequate summary of an investigation of alleged sexual harassment, the investigation summary must be released under *Ellen*, but the identities of the victims and witnesses of the alleged sexual harassment must be redacted, and their detailed statements must be withheld from disclosure. See Open Records Decision Nos. 393 (1983), 339 (1982). However, common-law privacy does not protect information about a public employee’s alleged misconduct on the job or complaints made about a public employee’s job performance. See Open Records Decision Nos. 438 (1986), 405 (1983), 230 (1979), 219 (1978).

Upon review, we find the submitted information does not constitute a sexual harassment investigation in the employment context of the city for the purposes of *Ellen*. Therefore, the common-law privacy protection afforded in *Ellen* is not applicable to the basic information. Additionally, the city has not demonstrated any portion of the basic information is highly intimate or embarrassing. Therefore, no portion of the basic information is confidential under the doctrine of common-law privacy, and the city may not withhold it under section 552.101 on that ground.

We note the requestor is with the Fort Worth Independent School District (the “district”) and states he is seeking disclosure of the information at issue pursuant to intergovernmental transfer. This office has concluded that information subject to the Act may be transferred between governmental bodies without waiving exceptions to the public disclosure of that information or affecting its confidentiality. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-590 (1986); Open Records Decision Nos. 655 (1997), 567 (1990), 561 (1990), 516 (1989). These decisions are based on the well-settled policy of this state that governmental agencies should cooperate with each other in the interest of the efficient and economical administration of their statutory duties. *See* ORD 516. However, the transfer of confidential information from one governmental body to another is prohibited where a relevant confidentiality statute authorizes release of the confidential information only to specific entities, and the requesting governmental body is not among the statute’s enumerated entities. *See* Attorney General Opinions DM-353 at 4 n.6 (1995) (intergovernmental transfer permitted under statutory confidentiality provision only where disclosure to another governmental agency is required or authorized by law), JM-590 at 4-5 (1986) (where governmental body is not included among expressly enumerated entities to which confidential information may be disclosed, information may not be transferred to that governmental body); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 655, 650 (1996) (transfer of confidential information to federal agency impermissible unless federal law requires its disclosure).

We note section 552.108 of the Government Code is not a confidentiality statute that enumerates specific entities to which release of the confidential information is authorized. Thus, pursuant to the intergovernmental transfer doctrine, the city has the discretion to release the submitted information that is subject to section 552.108 to the requestor. Furthermore, release of information pursuant to an intergovernmental transfer does not constitute a release of information to the public for the purposes of section 552.007 of the Act. *See, e.g.*, Attorney General Opinion Nos. H-917 at 1 (1976), H-242 (1974); *see also* Gov’t Code §§ 552.007, .352. Thus, the city does not waive its interests in withholding this information by exercising its discretion under the intergovernmental transfer doctrine. We note, however, portions of the submitted information are subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code, which has an access provision governing release of information. Consequently, because information subject to this exception must be withheld if the city chooses to release the submitted information pursuant to the intergovernmental transfer doctrine, we must consider the applicability of this section to the submitted information.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that relates to a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license, title, or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or country. Gov’t Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(2). Therefore, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130.

In summary, with the exception of the information we have marked that must be withheld under section 552.130 of the Government Code, the city has the discretion to release the submitted information to the requester under the intergovernmental transfer doctrine. Should the city choose not to exercise its discretion under the intergovernmental transfer doctrine,

then, with the exception of basic information, the city may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Charles Galindo Jr.
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CG/em

Ref: ID# 450362

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)