



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 26, 2012

Mr. David H. Guerra
City Attorney for the City of Mission
King, Guerra, Davis & Garcia
P.O. Box 1025
Mission, Texas 78573

OR2012-06021

Dear Mr. Guerra:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 451894.

The City of Mission (the "city") received a request for the "site plans (floor plans) for [the] Reserves at Cimarron Apartment complex 2417 Colorado." You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. You state you notified two third parties of the request for information pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Ted Trout Architect and Associates, Ltd. ("Ted Trout") and BBB&J Investments, Ltd ("BBB&J"). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information, which you say is a sample of the requested information.¹

You state the requested information may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. In addition, BBB&J asserts the architectural plans and designs are trade secrets. Section 552.110 protects the property interests of private persons by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision and (2) commercial or financial

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. By its terms, section 552.110 only protects the interests of the person from whom the information was obtained. This provision does not protect the interests of the governmental body that receives proprietary information nor does it allow a governmental body to assert section 552.110 for information it creates. Accordingly, we will address only BB&J's argument under section 552.110.

With regard to the trade secret prong of section 552.110, the Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1958), *cert. denied*, 358 U.S. 898 (1958); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.² *Id.* This office will accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under section 552.110(a) if the person establishes a *prima facie* case for the exception and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5-6 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). Information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single

²The six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret are:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business,” rather than “a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business.” RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; ORDs 319 at 3, 306 at 3.

After reviewing BBB&J’s arguments and the information at issue, we conclude BBB&J has not established the information at issue is a trade secret. Consequently, the city may not withhold the information based on section 552.110.

The city, Ted Trout, and BBB&J all claim the information at issue is subject to copyright protection. The submitted information is marked as copyrighted by Ted Trout. The custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In conclusion, as neither the city nor a third party has established the applicability of an exception to required public disclosure, we conclude the city must release the requested information. Information that is protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kay Hastings
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KH/bhf

Ref: ID# 451894

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ted Trout
Ted Trout Architect & Associates
6363 Woodway Drive, Suite 500
Houston, Texas 77057
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert J. Rockett
Counsel for BBB&J Investments
307 West Seventh Street, Suite 1719
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(w/o enclosures)