



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 24, 2012

Mr. Ryan M. Leach
General Counsel
Pasadena Independent School District
1515 Cherrybrook Lane
Pasadena, Texas 77502

OR2012-07953

Dear Mr. Leach:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 454726.

The Pasadena Independent School District (the "district") received a request for the responses and bid tabulations for request for proposals number 12-012. Although the district takes no position on the public availability of the submitted information, you state the release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Dell Marketing, L.P., INX, L.L.C, OA Systems, Inc., and Netsync Network Solutions.¹ Accordingly, you notified these companies of this request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from

¹Although you raise sections 552.104 and 552.110 of the Government Code, you have not submitted arguments explaining how these exceptions apply to the submitted information. Therefore, we presume you have withdrawn these exceptions. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302. Furthermore, we note section 552.110 is designed to protect the interests of third parties, not the interest of a governmental body.

disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties have a protected interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold the submitted information based upon the interests of the third parties.

Section 552.136 provides in part that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”² Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). Accordingly, the district must withhold the bank account and routing numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the submitted information appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released; however, any information protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.


This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free,

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Michelle R. Garza
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MRG/em

Ref: ID# 454726

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John E. Billings
Dell Marketing, L.P.
One Dell Way
Round Rock, Texas 78682
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Paul Klotz
Presidio Networked Solutions, Inc.
INX LLC
11757 Katy Freeway, Suite 1150
Houston, Texas 77079
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Marcos Gutierrez
OA Systems, Inc.
5100 Westheimer, Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77056
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Ashley Freeman
Metsync Network Solutions
2500 West Loop South, Suite 510
Houston, Texas 77027
(w/o enclosures)