



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 5, 2012

Mr. Matthew C. G. Boyle
For City of Hurst
Boyle & Lowry, L.L.P.
4201 Wingren, Suite 108
Irving, Texas 75062

OR2012-10323

Dear Mr. Boyle:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 457971 (PIR 2012-106).

The City of Hurst (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for information related to a specified request for proposals. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.110 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Brandywine Medical Management, EMS Management & Consultants, Inc., Fidelis, Med3000, Specialized Billing and Collection Systems of Texas, Inc., OMACS, Inc., and Wittman Enterprises, L.L.C. Accordingly, you have notified these third parties of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why their information should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d) (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under certain circumstances). We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, we have not received correspondence from any of the interested third parties. Thus, none of the interested third parties have demonstrated that they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests these third parties may have in the information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the common-law right of privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. The types of information considered intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *See id.* at 683. This office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). This office has also found personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally protected by common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (finding personal financial information to include choice of particular insurance carrier), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history). Upon review, we find that portions of the information at issue are highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Thus, the city must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

You generally assert the remaining information is excepted under section 552.101 of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101.

However, you have not directed our attention to, and we are not aware of, any law under which any of the remaining information is considered to be confidential for the purposes of section 552.101. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 611 at 1 (1992) (common-law privacy), 600 at 4 (1992) (constitutional privacy), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality). Therefore, we conclude that the city may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 on this basis.

Next, you contend the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b). We note section 552.110 protects the interests of private parties that provide information to governmental bodies, not the interests of governmental bodies themselves. *See generally* Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). Accordingly, we do not consider your arguments under section 552.110.

Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”¹ Gov't Code § 552.136(b). This office has determined that insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. *See id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). Therefore, the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code.

In summary, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The city must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Vanessa Burgess
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

VB/dls

Ref: ID# 457971

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karen P. Laake
President & CEO
Specialized Billing & Collection Systems of Texas, Inc.
14340 Torrey Chase Boulevard, #300
Houston, Texas 77014
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Corinne Wittman-Wong
Chief Executive Officer
Wittman Enterprises, L.L.C.
21 Blue Sky Court, Suite A
Sacramento, California 95828
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Steve Culham
EMS Sales Support
Med3000, Inc.
3131 Newmark Drive, Suite 100
Miamisburg, Ohio 45342
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Diane L. Vick
Chief Executive Officer
Fidelis EMS Billing, L.L.C.
Building 3300 South, Suite 300
397 Little Neck Road
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23452
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Doris L. Heher
President & CEO
Brandywine Medical Management Services, Incorporated
P.O. Box 69
West Grove, Pennsylvania 19390-0069
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Philip Averett
President
EMS Management & Consultants, Inc.
4731 Commercial Park Court
Clemmons, North Carolina 27012
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Margie McLean
Chief Executive Officer
QMACS, Inc.
2929 North Central Expressway, Suite 300
Richardson, Texas 75080
(w/o enclosures)