



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 31, 2012

Ms. Elizabeth S. Horn
Assistant City Attorney
City of Carrollton
1945 East Jackson Road
Carrollton, Texas 75006

OR2012-11969

Dear Ms. Horn:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 465023.

The City of Carrollton (the "city") received a request for a specified police report. You claim the submitted information is exempted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the city's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. Pursuant to section 552.301(e), a governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. Gov't Code § 552.301(e). You state the city received the instant request on June 25, 2012. You inform us the city was closed on July 4, 2012. This office does not count holidays as business days for the purpose of calculating a governmental body's deadlines under the Act. Thus, the city's ten-business-day deadline was July 17, 2012. However, you did not submit a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, nor did you submit written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply until July 18, 2012. Therefore, we find the city failed to comply with the requirements of section 552.301(e).

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to

withhold the information from disclosure. *Id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Generally, a governmental body may demonstrate a compelling reason to withhold information by showing the information is made confidential by another source of law or affects third party interests. *See* ORD 630. Section 552.108 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception to disclosure that may be waived. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (untimely request for decision resulted in waiver of discretionary exceptions), 177 (1977) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 subject to waiver). In failing to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301, the city has waived its claim under section 552.108 and may not withhold any of the requested information on that basis. You raise section 552.101 as an exception to disclosure. As section 552.101 of the Government Code can provide a compelling reason to withhold information, we will address the applicability of this exception to the submitted information.

You argue the information you have marked is excepted from disclosure pursuant to the case of *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court finds that legitimate law enforcement interests exist to withhold certain information related to active criminal cases). In this regard, we understand you to argue the information at issue is excepted under section 552.101 of the Government Code as information made confidential by judicial decision. However, *Houston Chronicle* did not determine the confidentiality of any information for purposes of section 552.101. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 658 at 4 (1998), 478 at 2 (1987), 465 at 4-5 (1987) (confidentiality protected by section 552.101 requires express language making certain information confidential or requires that information not be released to public). Accordingly, we determine none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with the court's holding in *Houston Chronicle*. As you raise no other exceptions against disclosure, the city must release the submitted information.¹

¹We note the information being released contains the requestor's driver's license number, which is generally confidential under section 552.130 of the Government Code and social security number, which may generally be withheld under section 552.147 of the Government Code. However, because sections 552.130 and 552.147 protect personal privacy, the requestor has a right to her own information under section 552.023 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023(a); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when individual requests information concerning herself). We note section 552.130(c) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact information protected by section 552.130(a)(1) without the necessity of requesting a decision under the Act. Gov't Code § 552.130(c). Additionally, we note section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. *Id.* § 552.147(b). Thus, if the city receives another request for this same information from a person who does not have such a right of access, sections 552.130(c) and 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorize the city to redact the driver's license number and social security number, respectively.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Ana Carolina Vieira
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ACV/ag

Ref: ID# 465023

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)