



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

August 3, 2012

Mr. Orlando "Jay" Juarez, Jr  
Legal Counsel for United Independent School District  
Escamilla, Poneck & Cruz, L.L.P.  
216 West Village Boulevard, Suite 202  
Laredo, Texas 78041

OR2012-12177

Dear Mr. Juarez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 461758.

The United Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for a copy of Wells Fargo Bank's ("Wells Fargo") proposal for a specified RFP, a specified bid tabulation sheet, and a copy of the district's current account analysis statement from Wells Fargo. Although you take no position on the public availability of the requested information, you state the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of Wells Fargo. Accordingly, you inform us, and provide documentation showing, you notified Wells Fargo of the request and of the company's right to submit comments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released to the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Wells Fargo on why the company's submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Wells Fargo has protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold any portion of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Wells Fargo may have in it.

Some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.136(b) of the Government Code, which states "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential."<sup>1</sup> Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). Therefore, the district must withhold the bank account numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

We note some of the information at issue may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the district must withhold the bank account numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released, but any information protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

---


<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>2</sup>We note section 552.136 of the Government Code permits a governmental body to withhold the information described in section 552.136(b) without the necessity of seeking a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.136(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.136(e). *See id.* § 552.136(d), (e).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jeffrey W. Giles  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JWG/bs

Ref: ID# 461758

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Adalberto Nava  
Senior Vice President  
Laredo Business Banking  
Wells Fargo  
1100 Matamoros  
Laredo, Texas 78040  
(w/o enclosures)